

LAND REZONING FOR NEW RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION, BRIDGEWATER

TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT

Hubble Traffic April 2024 Disclaimer: This report has been prepared based on and in reliance upon the information provided to Hubble Traffic Consulting by the client and gathered by Hubble Traffic Consulting during the preparation of the report. Whilst all reasonable skill, care and diligence has been used in preparation of the report, Hubble Traffic Consulting take no responsibility for errors or omissions arising from misstatements by third parties.

This report has been prepared specifically for the exclusive use of the client named in the report and to the extent necessary, Hubble Traffic Consulting disclaim responsibility for any loss or damage occasioned by use of or reliance upon this report, or the date produced herein, by any third party.

Version Date **Reason for Issue** Draft April 2024 Draft issued



Table of Contents

1.	Introd	luction	3
2.	Projec	t site and description	4
3.	Traffic	terminology used within this analysis	5
	3.1	Level of service for road links	5
	3.2	Performance criteria for urban links	6
	3.3	Performance criteria for highway links	6
	3.4	Performance criteria for multi-lane road links	7
	3.5	Traffic performance for interchange ramps	8
	3.6	Traffic performance of ramp junctions	9
	3.7	Traffic performance at junctions, intersections, and roundabouts	9
	3.8	Impact to residential amenity	10
	3.9	Preferred level of service for safe and efficient traffic performance	10
4.	Existir	ng traffic flows on the surrounding local road network	11
5.	Analy	sis of the traffic performance of the local road network	12
6.	Altern	ative transport modes	16
7.	Const	ruction of the new Bridgewater Bridge	18
8.	Traffic	assessment of rezoning the development site	21
	8.1	Traffic generation rate	22
	8.2	Assignment of peak hour trips to the surrounding road network	22
	8.3	Impact of new trips on the local road network	24
	8.4	Impact on residential amenity from new trips	26
	8.5	Summary of peak hour traffic performance of rezoned area	26
9.	Traffic	efficiency impact to the State Road network	29
10.	Road	standard of the surrounding local road network	30
11.	Road	standard of Boyer Road	32
12.	Conclu	usion	33
13.	Apper	ndix A – Existing traffic flows on surrounding road network	34
	13.1	Old Main Road and Cobbs Hill Road	34
	13.2	Boyer Road and Old Main Road adjusted	35
	13.3	Boyer Road, Sorell Street and Wallace Street adjusted	36
	13.4	Midland Highway, Boyer Road and Gunn Street adjusted	37
14.	Apper	ndix B – Traffic modelling with rezoning traffic operating	38



1. Introduction

Brighton Council (Council) has engaged Hubble Traffic to undertake an independent traffic assessment, to consider the traffic impact of additional residential traffic generated from rezoning of land, which is situated around Sorell Street and Cobbs Hill Road, Bridgewater.

The purpose of this traffic assessment is to quantify the current Level of Service on the surrounding local road network and determine the traffic capacity for the network to absorb additional traffic flow generated by the land rezoning. This assessment considers the change in road layout caused by the construction of the new Bridgewater Bridge.

This traffic assessment considers the traffic impact from rezoning land from Rural Living Zone A to General Residential, with the development using existing road infrastructure it can be considered as an infill residential project.

The State Government has advised that land located outside the Urban Growth Boundary, which shares a common boundary with the Urban Growth Boundary can be considered for rezoning. Stipulations of the extension of the urban growth boundary include; a logical extension, can be accommodated by the existing transport system, does not reduce the level of service of the existing road network, and would provide for an efficient and connected extension of the existing passenger and active transport services network.



2. Project site and description

The land under consideration for rezoning is highlighted red in diagram 2, and includes areas west of Sorell Street, north of Boyer Road, and north of Cobbs Hill Road and Samuel Street. For the purpose of this assessment this area will be the development site.

This development site is situated within undulating terrain, with existing rural residential properties, and vacant land that is mostly cleared of trees.



Diagram 2.0 – Development site



3. Traffic terminology used within this analysis

Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 12 – Traffic Impacts of Developments (Published 2020), defines the contents of traffic impact assessments, and recognises the Roads and Traffic Authority RTA Guideline for Traffic Generating Developments (RTA Guide), as a comprehensive reference guide on traffic generation within Australia.

The RTA Guide is the primary document used in this traffic impact assessment and specifies that traffic assessments are based on evaluating the traffic performance during the weekday peak hour periods.

Traffic performance at junctions, intersections, and roundabouts, can be quantified using traffic modelling software, with SIDRA the recommended software package in Australia.

3.1 Level of service for road links

Traffic performance of mid-block road links can be quantified by Level of Service (LOS), which is a qualitative measure describing operational conditions within a traffic stream, including perception by road users. The RTA Guide contains six levels from A to F, with LOS A representing the best operating conditions and LOS F the worst, with table 3.1 providing a brief description of each level.

Table 3.1 – Level of service for links

LOS A	Level of service A is a condition of free flow in which individual drivers are virtually unaffected by the presence of others in the traffic stream. Freedom to select desired speeds and to manoeuvre within the traffic stream is extremely high, and the general level of comfort and convenience provided is excellent.
LOS B	Level of service B is in the zone of stable flow and drivers still have reasonable freedom to select
	comfort and convenience is a little less than with level of service A.
LOS C	Level of service C is also in the zone of stable flow, but most drivers are restricted to some extent
	in their freedom to select their desired speed and to manoeuvre within the traffic stream. The
	general level of comfort and convenience declines noticeably at this level.
LOS D	Level of service D is close to the limit of stable flow and is approaching unstable flow. All drivers
	are severely restricted in their freedom to select their desired speed and to manoeuvre within the
	traffic stream. The general level of comfort and convenience is poor, and small increases in traffic
	flow will generally cause operational problems.
LOS E	Level of service E occurs when traffic volumes are at or close to capacity, and there is virtually no
	freedom to select their desired speeds and to manoeuvre within the traffic stream. Flow is
	unstable and minor disturbances within the traffic stream will cause flow breakdown.
LOS F	Level of service F is in the zone of forced flow. Flow breakdown occurs, and excessive queuing and
	delays result.



3.2 Performance criteria for urban links

Traffic performance of urban roads can be assessed using directional peak hour traffic flows, with the RTA Guide providing a table of LOS performance based on peak hour traffic flow, as shown in extract 3.2. For the surrounding local road network, there is one traffic lane in each direction, which means directional hourly flow under 200 vehicles per hour, represents the highest level of traffic performance, at LOS A.

Urban road peak hour flows per direction			
Level of Service	One Lane (veh/hr)	Two Lanes (veh/hr)	
А	200	900	
В	380	1400	
С	600	1800	
D	900	2200	
E	1400	2800	

Extract 3.2 – RTA Guide for urban roads

3.3 Performance criteria for highway links

Boyer Road between the Midland Highway and Sorell Street is part of the State Road network, and for the purpose of this assessment will be assessed as being a highway link. For non-urban roads, the RTA Guide quantifies the traffic performance based on two-way peak hour flows, with lane capacity effected by the terrain and presence of heavy vehicles.

For the purpose of this analysis, Boyer Road terrain is considered flat, and a maximum heavy vehicle content is assumed, with columns highlighted red representing the LOS to be used for this road.

	peak hour flow o (Design	Table 4.5 n two-lane rura n speed of 100l	ll roads (veh km/hr)	/hr)		
T		F	Percent of Heavy Vehicles			
Terrain	Level of Service	0	5	10	15	
	В	630	590	560	530	
	С	1030	970	920	870	
Level	D	1630	1550	1480	1410	
	E	2630	2500	2390	2290	
	В	500	420	360	310	
D. 11	С	920	760	650	570	
Rolling	D	1370	1140	970	700	
	E	2420	2000	1720	1510	
	В	340	230	180	150	
	С	600	410	320	260	
Nountainous	D	1050	680	500	400	
	E	2160	1400	1040	820	

Extract 3.3 – RTA Guide for non-urban links



3.4 Performance criteria for multi-lane road links

Austroads Guide to Road Design part 3 on Transport Study and Analysis Methods (AGRD), provides information on traffic capacity for multi-lane roads.

Multi-lane roads have two or more lanes for use by traffic in each direction, the lanes can either be divided by a physical barrier, or undivided where there is no physical separation. Intersections are generally controlled, with roundabouts or traffic signals, and have typical lane width of 3.6 metres.

A freeway is a divided road with two or more lanes for traffic travelling in each direction, with no at-grade intersections, and full control access from abutting property.

The traffic performance of Bridgewater Bridge will be assessed as part of this analysis, as the bridge has a relatively short length of road it will be assessed as a multi-lane road and not a freeway.

Traffic capacity is strongly influenced by flowing traffic conditions, as the Bridgewater Bridge will operate with grade separated interchanges, the highest traffic flow conditions can be expected. For the purposed of this analysis, the highest lane capacity will be used, as shown in red in Extract 3.4. The flow rate in the table represents the flow for each individual traffic lane.

Free-flow speed	Criteria	А	в	с	D	Е
opood	Maximum density (pc/km/ln)	7	11	16	22	25
	Average speed (km/h)	100.0	100.0	98.4	91.5	88.0
100 km/h	Maximum volume to capacity ratio (v/c)	0.32	0.50	0.72	0.92	1.00
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/ln)	660	1080	1550	1980	2200
	Maximum density (pc/km/ln)	7	11	16	22	26
00 km/h	Average speed (km/h)	90.0	90.0	89.8	84.7	80.8
90 km/n	Maximum volume to capacity ratio (v/c)	0.30	0.47	0.68	0.89	1.00
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/ln)	600	990	1430	1850	2100
	Maximum density (pc/km/ln)	7	11	16	22	27
00 km/h	Average speed (km/h)	80.0	80.0	80.0	77.6	74.1
80 km/n	Maximum volume to capacity ratio (v/c)	0.28	0.44	0.64	0.85	1.00
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/ln)	550	900	1300	1710	2000
	Maximum density (pc/km/ln)	7	11	16	22	28
70 km/b	Average speed (km/h)	70.0	70.0	70.0	69.6	67.9
	Maximum volume to capacity ratio (v/c)	0.26	0.41	0.59	0.81	1.00
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/ln)	290	810	1170	1550	1900

Extract 3.4 – Lane capacity for multi-lane links with uninterrupted flow



3.5 Traffic performance for interchange ramps

Traffic performance of interchange ramps is assessed as an uninterrupted flow, where traffic is not impacted by abutting properties. While interrupted flow is significantly lower, as it takes in to consideration the impact generated from properties that have direct road frontage, such as vehicles entering and leaving driveways, on-street parking or unparking, with both causing inconvenience to through traffic.

The flow rate of ramps is influenced by the geometric configuration, with curved ramps reducing the operating speed and lane capacity. The AGRD provides flow rates for free flowing ramps based on the operating speed and represents maximum capacity. With both the southbound on-ramp and northbound off-ramp having a curved alignment, the operating speed is expected to be in the range of 30 to 50 km/h, with Extract 3.5 indicating the maximum flow rate is expected to be 1900 vehicles per hour for a single ramp.

Extract 3.5 – AGRD flow rate for interchange ramps

	Capacity (pc/h) ⁽¹⁾		
Free-flow speed of ramp, SFR (km/n)	Single-lane ramps	Two-lane ramps	
> 80	2200	4400	
> 65–80	2100	4200	
> 50–65	2000	4000	
≥ 30–50	1900	3800	
< 30	1800	3600	

Extract 3.5 provides a maximum flow capacity for ramps but does not provide a level of service for the ramps. Therefore, the lane flows within Extract 3.4 for a 70 km/h operating speed will be used.

For the purpose of assessing the traffic performance (LOS) of the ramps, the single lane ramp flows in the table below will be used.

Table 3.5 – Estimated flow rates for single lane ramps

Level of service	Α	В	С	D	E
Flow rate	290	810	1170	1550	1900



3.6 Traffic performance of ramp junctions

Section 5.4.2 of AGRD provides advice on evaluating the traffic performance of both off and onramp junctions, in respect to diverge and merge areas. The traffic performance (LOS) can be quantified by using density of the merge area, which is calculated using a linear relationship with the peak 15 minute ramp flow (V_R), with the flow in the two kerb-side lanes (V_{12}), and the acceleration lane length (L_A).

Merge density is calculated as D_R =3.402 + 0.00456 V_R + 0.0048V12 - 0.01278 L_A

The merge density relates to LOS, as specified in table 3.6, which will be used in this analysis.

Table 5.9: LOS criteria for freeway merge and diverge segments		
LOS	Density (pc/km/ln)	
А	≤ 6	
В	> 6-12	
С	> 12–17	
D	> 17–22	
E	> 22	
F	Demand exceeds capacity	

3.7 Traffic performance at junctions, intersections, and roundabouts

The traffic performance of junctions, intersections, and roundabouts can be estimated using a variety of analytical and computational techniques, with this assessment using the SIDRA software package. The performance of intersections is commonly described by the Degree of Saturation (DOS) of the critical traffic movements, a measure of the volume/capacity ratio or degree, to which the available intersection capacity is utilised. Other terms used, Level of service (LOS) which is based on the average stopped delay in seconds, and maximum queue length in metres. The table below provides a reference to the level of service for the various traffic controls based on the RTA Guide.

Table 3.7 - Le	evel of service fe	or intersections and	roundabouts

Level of	Average delay per	Traffic Signals and	Give Way and Stop controls
service	vehicle (secs/vehicle)	Roundabouts	
А	<14	Good operation	Good operation
В	15 to <28	Good with acceptable delays	Acceptable delays and spare
		and spare capacity	capacity
С	29 to <42	Satisfactory	Satisfactory, but crash study
			required
D	43 to <56	Operating near capacity,	Near capacity and crash study
		acceptable for State Roads	required
		At capacity for signals, will	
E	57 to <70	cause excessive delays.	At capacity, requires other
		Roundabouts require other	control modes
		control mode	

*Average delay per vehicle exceeding 70 seconds indicates traffic exceeds the site capacity.



3.8 Impact to residential amenity

A new development, or extension to residential development in urban areas can be concerning to local residents, and it can be difficult to argue that a traffic increase is reasonable. The RTA Guide has considered this matter and provided an environmental performance standard, which can be used to evaluate the likely impact on residential amenity. The extract below is from the RTA Guide and relates to urban environments, providing acceptable and maximum peak hour goals, based on two-way peak hour flows.

Road class	Road type	Maximum Speed (km/hr)	Maximum peak hour volume (veh/hr)
	Access way	25	100
Local	Street	40	200 environmental goal
			300 maximum
Callester	Ctroot	50	300 environmental goal
Collector	Street	50	500 maximum

Extract 3.8 – RTA Guide on residential amenity

3.9 Preferred level of service for safe and efficient traffic performance

Road authorities generally design new road projects to open and be operational at LOS A or B, with traffic performance reducing as incremental traffic growth occurs.

As new road infrastructure is expensive, it is important to maximise the available road capacity, and it is acceptable for State Roads to operate at LOS C and D during weekday peak periods.

LOS A and B at give way control junctions provides for acceptable delays, with the junctions operating with spare capacity.



4. Existing traffic flows on the surrounding local road network

It is important to understand the traffic performance of the surrounding road network, this is best achieved by undertaking peak hour traffic surveys at key junctions and intersections. Peak hour traffic surveys were conducted during January 2024, to determine the current level of service for the links and intersections of the surrounding road network, likely to be affected by traffic generated by the proposed rezoning.

In addition to manual peak hour surveys collected, traffic data was collected from other resources including the Traffic Impact Assessment for the Bridgewater Bridge upgrade, and Department of State Growth (Department) State Road network traffic database. Data obtained from these sources, provided traffic flow at each of the key junctions and intersections for both the morning and evening weekday peak hours, and is available in appendix A.

From this data directional traffic flows for links within the network was established for both peak hour periods. The link data indicates the local streets (Sorell, Samuel, and Cobbs Hill Road) are lightly trafficked, with less than 50 two-way vehicle movements in the peak hour periods.

During the manual surveys, it was observed:

- MacDonalds fast food outlet located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Old Main Road and Boyer Road roundabout generated significant traffic movements in both the peak hour periods, estimated between 100 and 140 trips in each peak hour period.
- The temporary office and works depot for the Bridgewater Bridge is located off Old Main Road north of Boyer Road and generated a moderate number of vehicle movements. Although these movements will cease once the bridge is completed, the traffic flows have not been adjusted for this reduced activity.
- The bottle Shop located on the southwest corner of the Old Main Road and Boyer Road roundabout, was a moderate traffic generator in the evening peak hour period, estimated to generate 80 two-way trips in the evening peak hour period.

All these traffic generators increased the traffic flow using the Old Main Road and Boyer Road roundabout.



5. Analysis of the traffic performance of the local road network

The traffic performance of the links on the surrounding road network has been quantified using the RTA Guide for urban links (extract 3.2), with the results provided in table 5.0A.

Traffic analysis determined the local roads are lightly trafficked during the peak periods, operating at the highest level of traffic performance LOS A. While traffic flows on Boyer Road (State Road) are slightly higher, they are still providing a high level of traffic performance. The section of Boyer Road between Old Main Road and the Midland Highway has the highest traffic flows, and is operating at LOS B.

This analysis demonstrates that the surrounding road network has spare traffic capacity to accommodate an increase in traffic from future developments. LOS A and B means the traffic flow is stable, motorists are virtually unaffected by the presence of others in the traffic flow, and there are sufficient gaps for vehicles to enter and leave the road, without impacting other vehicles. This level of service provides motorists with excellent driving conditions.

Road	Road	Criteria	Morr	ning peak h	our	Ever	ning Peak h	nour
owner			EB or NB	WB or	Two-	EB or	WB or	Two-
				SB	way	NB	SB	way
	Sorell Street	Flow	10	13	23	26	16	42
		LOS	А	А		А	А	
Local	Cobbs Hill Road	Flow	2	5	7	3	4	7
road		LOS	А	А		А	А	
network	Old Main Road	Flow	151	101	252	108	157	265
	(north of Boyer Road)	LOS	А	А		А	А	
	Old Main Road	Flow	2	1	3	38	40	78
	(south of Boyer Road)	LOS	А	А		А	А	
	Boyer Road	Flow	193	91	284	135	261	396
State	(west of Sorell Street)	LOS		А			А	
Road	Boyer Road	Flow	207	106	320	169	289	458
	(east of Sorell Street)	LOS	A				А	
	Boyer Rd (Old Main Rd	Flow	317	260	577	287	383	670
	to Highway)			В		В		

Table 5.0A – Level of Service of the surrounding links



SIDRA traffic modelling has been used to quantify the traffic performance of intersections, junctions, and roundabouts within the surrounding road network. Modelling has not been provided for the junctions of Cobbs Hill Road with Sorell Street, and Old Main Road with Cobbs Hill Road, as both are very lightly trafficked and assumed to be operating at the highest level of traffic performance, LOS A.

Traffic modelling demonstrates all junctions, intersections and roundabouts are providing motorists with the highest level of traffic performance, with all movements operating at LOS A. This demonstrates there is spare traffic capacity to absorb additional traffic movements from future development.

Junction intersection						Max queue length
roundabout	Period	Total	DOS	Worst Delay	Worst LOS	
Sorell Street with	Morning	311	0.100	6.9 secs	А	0.5 metres
Boyer Road	Evening	448	0.151	7.8 secs	А	1.4 metres
Old Main Rd and Boyer	Morning	550	0.180	8.9 secs	А	6.6 metres
Road roundabout	Evening	760	0.268	9.8 secs	А	11.5 metres
Boyer Road with	Morning	2085	0.385	12.5 secs	А	16.5 metres
Midland Highway	Evening	2102	0.417	12.2 secs	А	18.2 metres

Table 5.0B – Traffic modelling of the State Road junctions

The third method to quantify traffic performance is residential amenity of local streets, using the RTA Guide extract 3.5. The RTA Guide indicates that a local street carrying less than 300 two-way traffic movements in the peak hour, is not considered to be causing adverse amenity to the surrounding residential properties.

Table 5.0C demonstrates the two-way traffic flow on the current local streets is well below the threshold to cause adverse impact, with spare traffic capacity. State Roads are not considered a local street and have been excluded from this part of the assessment.

Table 5.0C – Level of traffic flow for residential amenity for local roads

Road and link Road type		Maximum	Morning	Evening	Comment
		300 two-way			All local roads comply
Sorell Street	Local	vehicles per	23	42	with RTA environment
		peak hour			standards
Cobbs Hill Road			7	7	

This analysis demonstrates motorists are currently receiving a high level of traffic performance, with all nodes and links operating at LOS A or B. This traffic performance is shown in a diagrammatic format in diagrams 5.0A and 5.0B.





Diagram 5.0A – Morning peak hour traffic performance



Diagram 5.0B – Evening peak hour traffic performance

6. Alternative transport modes

The surrounding road network east of Sorell Street has footpaths that connect to the Midland Highway and a pedestrian overpass to the residential area east of the highway. As the land has a relatively flat terrain, walking and cycling are a viable transport option.

Public transport services operate within the Bridgewater and Brighton area, with the closest bus stops to the development site located along Midland Highway, opposite McDonalds. High frequency bus services are provided along this bus route, making public transport an alternative transport option, reducing the reliance on private vehicles.



Diagram 6.0A – Public transport service



Diagram 6.0B – Timetable of services

							м	ONDAY T	O THUR	SDAY									
ROUTE NUMBER	522	X20	520	X21	X20	X21	X20	X21	X20	521	X20	X20	521	X20	521	X20	X20	521	X20
	am	am	am	am	am	am	am	am	am	am	am	pm							
BRIGHTON TERMINUS (ANDREW ST)	-	-	-	6:39	-	7:06	-	7:39	-	8:45	-	-	9:45	-	10:45	-	-	11:45	-
BURROWS AVE / BROOKE ST IN	-	-	-	6:46	-	7:13	-	7:46	-	8:51	-	-	9:51	-	10:51	-	-	11:51	-
BRIGHTON CENTRAL	-	-	-	6:52	-	7:19	-	7:52	-	8:56	-	-	9:56	-	10:56	-	-	11:56	-
GAGEBROOK TERMINUS IN	5:30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
LAMPRILL CIRCLE/FISHER DR	5:38	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
BRIDGEWATER (COVE HILL SHOPS)	5:43	6:28	6:43	6:59	7:11	7:26	7:42	7:59	8:09	9:02	9:01	9:31	10:02	10:31	11:02	11:01	11:31	12:02	12:01
COWLE RD/BISDEE RD	5:45	6:31	6:46	-	7:14	-	7:45	-	8:12	-	9:04	9:34	-	10:34	-	11:04	11:34	-	12:04
SCOTT RD/KILLARNEY RD	5:49	6:36	6:51	-	7:19	-	7:50	-	8:17	-	9:09	9:39	-	10:39	-	11:09	11:39	-	12:09
BRIDGEWATER PLAZA GREENPOINT RD	5:51	6:39	6:54	7:01	7:22	7:28	7:53	8:01	8:20	x9:06	9:12	9:42	x10:06	10:42	x11:06	11:12	11:42	x12:06	12:12
GUNN ST/FINLAY ST	5:52	6:40	6:56	-	7:23	-	7:55	-	8:22	-	9:13	9:43	-	10:43	-	11:13	11:43	-	12:13
GRANTON (MAIN RD)	6:01	6:48	7:05	7:09	7:31	7:36	8:06	8:11	8:32	-	9:22	9:52	-	10:52	-	11:22	11:52	-	12:22
CLAREMONT, MAIN RD/AMBER ST	6:09	6:58	7:14	7:18	7:41	7:46	8:16	8:21	8:40	-	9:30	10:00	-	11:00	-	11:30	12:00	-	12:30
GLENORCHY STOP H	6:20	-	7:28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
GLENORCHY STOP J	-	7:08	-	-	7:54	-	8:31	-	8:55	-	9:44	10:14	-	11:14	-	11:44	12:14	-	12:44



7. Construction of the new Bridgewater Bridge

The Bridgewater Bridge is currently being replaced with a dual divided carriageway structure that provides a higher river clearance, situated slightly east of the existing alignment. The new road layout will include a grade separated interchange to accommodate vehicles leaving and entering from the surrounding area. The new road layout incorporates the following ramps:

- northbound off-ramp connecting to Old Main Road,
- southbound off-ramp connecting to Gunn Street, with Gunn Street extended underneath the bridge to connect to the current Old Main Road cul-de-sac, and
- southbound on-ramp from Boyer Road joining the southbound carriageway as a merge lane.

These ramps form an integral part of the grade separated interchange and will significantly alter the traffic flows on the surrounding road network, particularly on Old Main Road. It would be logical for the roads forming the grade separated interchange to be become part of the State Road network. For example, Old Main Road and the extension of Gunn Street, commencing at the southbound off-ramp to Old Main Road.

The current traffic flow has been reassigned to the new Bridgewater Bridge layout, based on the layout shown in diagram 7.0, with the level of traffic performance for each of the links and nodes recalculated.

For the purpose of this traffic assessment, the reassigned traffic flows on the new road layout are considered as the base model. The predicted traffic flows, level of traffic performance for the links, and nodes is provided in diagrams 7.0A and 7.0B.



Diagram 7.0 – Department of State Growth proposed road layout for the new Bridgewater Bridge







8. Traffic assessment of rezoning the development site

This section analyses the impact from additional traffic generated from rezoning of land within the development site, as shown in the diagram below.

There is approximately 28 hectares of land, which is expected to generate 10 urban dwellings per hectare, providing a total of 280 dwellings. This takes into consideration the land constraints, topography, current dwellings, and the need for future internal road infrastructure to service the new lots.

Additional traffic generated by the development will use the existing local street network and State Roads to connect to the Midland Highway, which includes the Bridgewater Bridge.

CERVENT ALLS Sorell Street intersection

Diagram 8.0 – Development site, with connection to the surrounding road network



8.1 Traffic generation rate

The RTA Guide provides traffic generation rates for a residential dwelling, where section 3.3.1 indicates each urban residential property is likely to generate 7.4 daily vehicle trips, with 0.78 of these trips expected in each of the weekday peak hour periods. An additional 280 residential dwellings are predicted to generate 2,072 daily trips, with 218 of these trips expected in each of the weekday peak hour periods.

Туре	Number of dwellings	Generation rate	Daily trips	Weekday peak hour trips
Residential	280	7.4 daily trips, with 0.78 trips in the peak hour periods	2,072	218

8.2 Assignment of peak hour trips to the surrounding road network

It is common with urban residential dwellings that 90 percent of trips leave the property in the morning peak, with the opposite occurring in the evening. The new trips have been assigned to the surrounding local road network, based on the new road layout associated with the new Bridgewater Bridge.

With the new road layout, the function of Old Main Road will change from a local road to a collector road, as an integral part of the grade separated interchange. The proximity of the northbound offramp to Cobbs Hill Road will reduce travel distance for local residents, which is expected to make Cobbs Hill Road the preferred route for motorists from Samual Street, Cobbs Hill Road, and a portion of Sorell Street. This assessment predicts that 56 percent of the additional traffic from the development site is likely to use Cobbs Hill Road in the morning peak, with a higher portion of 70 percent in the evening peak.

Based on the current trip distribution, the majority or 85 percent of the additional trips are likely to commute to the south, five percent of trips to the west towards New Norfolk, and ten percent to the north (which includes East Derwent Highway), as shown in Table 8.2A.

Peak		Sorell Stre	eet (56%)						
hour	Leaving	g (56%)	Arriving		Lea	ving	Arri	Total	
period	West	East	West	East	South	North	South	North	
Morning	10	76	1	3	84	26	16	2	218
Evening	1	6	10	61	12	3	15	110	218

Table 8.2A – Predicted trip distribution to surrounding road network



Predicted trip distribution is also demonstrated in Diagram 8.2, with figures in red representing the morning peak hour and green the evening peak hour.



Diagram 8.2 – Assignment of additional trips from rezoning



108 (AM) - Number of trips in morning peak hour 109 (PM) – Number of trips in the evening peak hour

8.3 Impact of new trips on the local road network

The increase in traffic flow on the new road layout has been analysed using the same traffic methodology, including traffic modelling at the nodes. Tables 8.3A and 8.3B demonstrate the increase in directional traffic flow, and the predicted level of traffic performance for the links, and table 8.3C demonstrates traffic modelling results for the nodes.

In the morning peak hour, the two-way traffic flow on Cobbs Hills Road is predicted to have the highest increase from 7 to 138, however the road will continue to operate LOS A. Similarly, the two-way traffic flow in Sorell Street is predicted to increase from 23 to 112, and continue to operate at LOS A.

Due to Old Main Road being an integral part of the grade separation, there will be an increase in traffic flow, with predicted two-way flow to increase from 252 to 344, with the road predicted to continue to operate at LOS A, based on directional flows being under 200 vehicles per hour.

The southbound off-ramp will not adversely impact the traffic flow along Gunn Street east of the ramp, which will continue to operate at LOS A in the morning and LOS B during the evening.

For the State Road network, the two-way traffic flow on Boyer Road between Sorell Street and Old Main Road is predicted to increase from 320 to 398, but not cause a reduction in traffic efficiency. The southbound on-ramp is predicted to carry 469 vehicles in the morning, with motorists provided with an efficient flow with this ramp expected to operate at LOS B.

Road	Criteria	Existin	g traffic con	ditions	Futur	e traffic con	ditions
		EB or NB	WB or SB	Two-way	EB or NB	WB or SB	Two-way
	Flow	10	13	23	14	98	112
Sorell Street	LOS	А	А		А	Α	
	Flow	2	5	7	115	23	138
Cobbs Hill Road	LOS	А	А		А	А	
	Flow	152	101	252	152	192	344
Old Main Road	LOS	А	А		А	Α	
Boyer Rd	Flow	214	106	320	289	109	398
(Sorell to Old Main)	LOS		А		A		
	Flow	31	15		4	69	
Boyer On-ramp	LOS	А			I	В	
Gunn Street (SB off-	Flow	287	78	365	292	80	372
ramp to Boyer Rd)	Los	В	А		В	А	

Table 8.3A – Comparison of traffic conditions - existing with rezoning (morning)



Road	Criteria	Existin	g traffic con	ditions	Futur	e traffic con	ditions
		EB or NB	WB or SB	Two-way	EB or NB	WB or SB	Two-way
	Flow	17	27	40	98	74	172
Sorell Street	LOS	А	А		Α	Α	
	Flow	5	5	10	20	115	135
Cobbs Hill Road	LOS	А	А		Α	Α	
	Flow	141	322	463	156	381	537
Old Main Road	LOS	А	В		А	В	
Boyer Rd	Flow	169	276	445	220	337	557
(Sorell to Old Main)	LOS		А			В	
	Flow	25	54		3!	56	
Boyer On-ramp	LOS	А			I	В	
Gunn Street (SB off-	Flow	288	206	494	302	208	510
ramp to Boyer Rd)	Los	В	В		В	В	

Table 8.3B – Comparison of traffic conditions - existing with rezoning (evening)

Tables 8.3A and 8.3B compare the traffic flow and performance when the additional 218 vehicular trips are generated by the development site, demonstrating no adverse traffic impact is expected on the surrounding road links during the weekday peak hour periods. This analysis demonstrates the surrounding road network has spare traffic capacity.

Traffic modelling of the surrounding nodes demonstrates the additional 218 trips in the peak hour periods is not expected to cause any reduction in traffic performance, with motorists to continue to receive the highest level of traffic performance, LOS A.

Junction intersection				Worst Delay	Worst	Max queue length
roundabout	Period	Total	DOS	Delay	LOS	
Sorell Street with	Morning	399	0.100	7.3 secs	А	2.1 metres
Boyer Road	Evening	525	0.185	8.3 secs	А	4.3 metres
Old Main Rd and Boyer	Morning	789	0.340	8.3 secs	А	11.4 metres
Road new layout	Evening	945	0.301	10.3 secs	А	9.1 metres
Old Main Road and	Morning	465	0.129	7.7 secs	А	3.6 metres
highway off-ramp	Evening	612	0.172	9.6 sec	А	3.2 metres
Gunn Street and	Morning	380	0.132	6.7 Secs	A	3.2 metres
southbound off-ramp	Evening	531	0.206	7.3 secs	А	5.2 metres

Table 8.3C – Summary of traffic modelling with rezoning



8.4 Impact on residential amenity from new trips

The RTA Guide for residential amenity on locals streets indicates two-way traffic flow of less than 300 vehicles per peak hour is acceptable, from a residential amenity perspective. Table 8.4 compares the two-way trips between the existing conditions and when the rezoning is generating additional traffic trips.

Although the existing traffic flow on Gunn Street east of the southbound off-ramp is predicted to exceed 300 vehicles in the evening peak, the rezoning is not expected to increase the traffic flow on this road, and therefore will not cause adverse impact to residential amenity.

Table 8.4 demonstrates new trips from the rezoning is not expected to cause a deterioration in residential amenity to the surrounding local roads.

Table 8.4 – Comparison of two-way traffic flow betwee	en exis	ting and	future trips
---	---------	----------	--------------

Road and link	Maximum	Morning p	eak hour	Evening peak hour		
		Existing	Future	Existing	Future	
Sorell Street		23	112	26	172	
Cobbs Hill Road	300	7	137	7	135	
Gunn Street east of the off-ramp		247	249	346	350	

8.5 Summary of peak hour traffic performance of rezoned area

Results of the traffic analysis of the surrounding road network is provided in the following diagrams 8.5A and 8.5B.







Diagram 8.5B – Evening peak hour traffic performance with rezoning traffic

9. Traffic efficiency impact to the State Road network

Rezoning of the land will intensify the traffic flow on the State Road network, along Boyer Road between the highway and Sorell Street and the Bridgewater Bridge. Table 9.0A demonstrates Boyer Road has sufficient spare traffic capacity to absorb the additional traffic, without adversely impacting traffic efficiency, with motorists continuing to receive an acceptable level of performance of LOS A or B.

Peak hour	Link	Existing traffic co	nditions	Future traffic conditions		
period	LINK	Two-way flow	LOS	Two-way flow	LOS	
	Highway to Sorell St	313	А	398	Α	
Morning	West of Sorell St	284	А	295	Α	
	Highway to Sorell St	458	А	557	В	
Evening	West of Sorell St	396	А	396	Α	

Table 9.0A – Comparison of traffic conditions on Boyer Road

Traffic capacity on the new Bridgewater Bridge will significantly increase, with the single traffic lane being replaced with dual lanes, all lanes will operate with uninterrupted traffic flow. The traffic performance on the bridge is expected to operate at LOS A, with sufficient spare traffic capacity to accommodate significant future traffic growth.

Table 9.0B – Comparison of traffic conditions on Bridgewater Bridge

Deakhaur	Evicting	onditions	Future traffic conditions with rezoning					
Peak nour	Existing C	onutions	Northbound	carriageway	Southbound Carriageway			
period	Northbound	Southbound	Flow	LOS	Flow	LOS		
Morning	707	1058	744	Α	1258	Α		
Evening	1088	671	1250	Α	827	Α		

Density of traffic within the diverge and merge areas has been calculated using the formula in section 3.6, with the density ratio being less than 6. This means the merge and diverge areas are expected to operate at LOS A, providing motorists with the highest level of traffic performance.



10. Road standard of the surrounding local road network

Sorell Street is built to a rural standard, with sealed pavement of sufficient width to accommodate twoway traffic, grassed verges, and gravel footpath along the eastern side. The road has a generally straight alignment and is situated on a mostly flat gradient. A posted speed limit of 50 km/h applies.

Along the eastern side of the road, where urban residential development has already occurred, the street has been upgraded to an urban standard, with concrete kerb and channelling, and a concrete footpath.



Photograph 10.0A – Sorell Street standard

Cobbs Hill Road has a rural road construction standard, and sealed bitumen surface of sufficient width to accommodate two-way traffic. The road has a generally straight alignment, with some long sweeping horizontal curves, and is situated within undulating terrain. A posted speed limit of 50 km/h applies.



Photograph 10.0B – Cobbs Hill Road standard



The road reserve of the section of Cobbs Hill Road between Sorell Street and Old Main Road is quite constrained, with established development along both sides. The road crosses a railway line that is controlled by flashing lights, there is no kerb and gutter, with the bitumen road surface in poor condition in some locations. The road alignment is generally straight, on relatively flat terrain.

Photograph 10.0C – Cobbs Hill Road between Sorell Street and Old Main Road



At the time of the site inspection, Old Main Road was undergoing road works to accommodate the infrastructure changes associated with the Bridgwater Bridge. At the completion of infrastructure changes, the road is expected to be constructed to an urban standard, with a sealed bitumen surface, concrete kerb and channel, concrete footpath, and sufficient road width to accommodate two-way traffic and on-street parking.



Photograph 10.0D – Old Main Road

Overall, the site inspection found no impediment with the surrounding local road network to prevent the rezoning to occur. It is assumed that the rezoning will include upgrading the local road network to urban standard, complying with LGAT standard drawings for an urban environment.



11. Road standard of Boyer Road

Boyer Road is part of the State Road Network and is classified as a Category 5 – Other Roads, which are primarily used as access roads for private properties and as low frequency heavy vehicle transport routes.

The road has been constructed to an urban standard from the signalised railway crossing to the Midland Highway, while between the signalised railway crossing to Sorell Street the road is or a rural standard.



Photograph 11.0A - Boyer Road standard between Midland Highway and Sorell Street

The site inspection found the road infrastructure no impediment to prevent the rezoning to occur. The intersection of Sorell Street and Boyer Road is controlled by give way signs and there is sufficient sight distance at the intersection for vehicles to turn in a safe and efficient manner. The intersection is covered by a 60 km/h speed limit.

Photograph 11.0B – Intersection of Sorell Street and Boyer Road





12. Conclusion

Rezoning the 28 hectares of land to general residential is predicted to generate an additional 218 vehicle trips in the weekday peak hour periods.

Extensive traffic analysis has demonstrated these additional peak hour trips can be accommodated within the surrounding road network, without causing a reduction in traffic performance, or adverse impact to residential amenity for the existing residential properties. The local road network will continue to operate at LOS A, which provides the highest level of traffic efficiency, with minimal traffic delays and queues. The State Highway network will also provide motorists with a high level of traffic efficiency of LOS A or B.

The traffic analysis has taken into consideration the road infrastructure changes that will occur with the completion of the new Bridgewater Bridge, and demonstrated the new traffic layout will have sufficient capacity to absorb the traffic increase. The dual traffic lanes on the bridge are expected to provide motorists will a high level of traffic efficiency, and there will be ample traffic capacity to accommodate significant future traffic growth.

The Bridgewater Bridge project includes grade separated interchanges, which will intensify the traffic flow at the Old Main Road and Boyer Road intersection, and its critical this intersection is managed by appropriate traffic control. As Old Main Road will become an integral part of the grade interchange, and be extended to Gunn Street, this road should become part of the State Road network.

The Bridgewater Bridge project includes grade separated interchanges, which will intensify the traffic flow at the Old Main Road and Boyer Road intersection, with appropriate traffic control management necessary. Old Main Road will become an integral part of the grade interchange, which will be extended to Gunn Street, and it is recommended that this road become part of the State Road network.

This traffic assessment found no traffic engineering reason rezoning should not proceed.



13. Appendix A – Existing traffic flows on surrounding road network

13.1 Old Main Road and Cobbs Hill Road

Morning peak hour traffic flow (7:30am to 8:30am)

Morning peak hour 7:30am to 8:30am		
Towards Brighton	Old Main Road	Towards Boyer Road
9 13 9	 9 12 1 2 5 7 Cobbs Hill Road 	

Evening peak hour traffic flow (4:30pm to 5:30pm)





13.2 Boyer Road and Old Main Road adjusted

Morning peak hour traffic flow (7:45am to 8:45am)



Evening peak hour traffic flow (4:30pm to 5:30pm)









Evening peak hour traffic flow (4:30pm to 5:30pm)





13.4 Midland Highway, Boyer Road and Gunn Street adjusted Morning peak hour traffic flow (7:45am to 8:45am)



Evening peak hour traffic flow (4:30pm to 5:30pm)





14. Appendix B – Traffic modelling with rezoning traffic operating

Intersection of Sorell Street and Boyer Road

	/ Yield (Two-W	ay)						
Moveme	ent Performan	ice - Vehicles						
Mov ID	Tum	Deman Total veh/h	id Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	Queue Distanc
South: W	allace Street							
1	L2	1	0.0	0.003	5.8	LOSA	0.0	0
2	T1	1	0.0	0.003	5.2	LOSA	0.0	0
3	R2	1	0.0	0.003	7.3	LOSA	0.0	C
Approach	ו	3	0.0	0.003	6.1	LOSA	0.0	0
East: Boy	/er (Highway)							
4	L2	1	0.0	0.055	6.1	LOS A	0.1	0
5	T1	90	0.0	0.055	0.1	LOS A	0.1	0
6	R2	12	0.0	0.055	6.1	LOS A	0.1	0
Approach	ı	103	0.0	0.055	0.9	NA	0.1	0
North: So	orell							
7	L2	87	0.0	0.076	6.1	LOS A	0.3	2
8	T1	1	0.0	0.076	5.4	LOS A	0.3	2
9	R2	10	0.0	0.076	7.0	LOS A	0.3	2
Approach	ı	98	0.0	0.076	6.2	LOSA	0.3	2
West: Bo	yer (New Norfol	k)						
10	L2	2	0.0	0.100	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0
11	T1	192	0.0	0.100	0.0	LOS A	0.0	C
12	R2	1	0.0	0.100	5.7	LOS A	0.0	C
Approach	ı	195	0.0	0.100	0.1	NA	0.0	(
	00	200						

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∇ Site: 101 [Boyer Rd and Sorell St - Evening with rezoning]

New Site Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Moven	nent Performai	nce - Vehicles						
Mov	Turn	Deman	d Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back of	Queue
ID		Total	HV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m
South:	vvallace Street							
1	L2	1	0.0	0.008	6.3	LOS A	0.0	0.2
2	T1	1	0.0	0.008	6.3	LOS A	0.0	0.2
3	R2	4	0.0	0.008	8.3	LOS A	0.0	0.2
Approa	ch	6	0.0	0.008	7.6	LOS A	0.0	0.2
East: B	over (Highwav)							
4	L2	2	0.0	0.185	6.1	LOS A	0.6	4.3
5	T1	247	0.0	0.185	0.2	LOS A	0.6	4.3
6	R2	87	0.0	0.185	6.0	LOS A	0.6	4.3
Approa	ch	336	0.0	0.185	1.8	NA	0.6	4.3
North: \$	Sorell							
7	L2	21	0.0	0.019	6.0	LOS A	0.1	0.5
8	T1	1	0.0	0.019	6.4	LOS A	0.1	0.5
9	R2	2	0.0	0.019	8.3	LOS A	0.1	0.5
Approa	ch	24	0.0	0.019	6.2	LOS A	0.1	0.5
West: E	Boyer (New Norfo	lk)						
10	L2	11	0.0	0.082	5.6	LOS A	0.0	0.1
11	T1	147	0.0	0.082	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.1
12	R2	1	0.0	0.082	6.3	LOS A	0.0	0.1
Approa	ch	159	0.0	0.082	0.4	NA	0.0	0.1
All Vehi	icles	525	0.0	0.185	1.6	NA	0.6	4.3



T: 0416 064 755 E: Hubbletraffic@outlook.com W: Hubbletraffic.com.au

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

abla Site: 101 [New layout Old Main and Boyer - Morning with rezoning]

New Site Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Moven	nent Performa	nce - Vehicles						
Mov ID	Turn	Deman Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	Queue Distance m
South: (Gunn Street							
1	L2	62	0.0	0.166	6.0	LOS A	0.8	5.6
2	T1	106	0.0	0.166	0.4	LOS A	0.8	5.6
3	R2	122	0.0	0.166	6.2	LOS A	0.8	5.6
Approach		290	0.0	0.166	4.0	NA	0.8	5.6
North: C	Old Main Road							
7	L2	89	0.0	0.108	5.8	LOS A	0.4	2.7
8	T1	54	0.0	0.108	0.3	LOS A	0.4	2.7
9	R2	49	0.0	0.108	6.0	LOS A	0.4	2.7
Approa	ch	192	0.0	0.108	4.3	NA	0.4	2.7
West: B	oyer (New Norfo	olk)						
10	L2	48	0.0	0.340	6.2	LOS A	1.6	11.4
11	T1	230	0.0	0.340	7.1	LOS A	1.6	11.4
12	R2	29	0.0	0.340	8.3	LOS A	1.6	11.4
Approa	ch	307	0.0	0.340	7.1	LOS A	1.6	11.4
All Vehi	cles	789	0.0	0.340	5.3	NA	1.6	11.4

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

abla Site: 101 [New layout Old Main and Boyer - Evening with rezoning]

New Site Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movem	ent Performa	nce - Vehicles						
Mov ID	Turn	Deman Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	Queue Distance m
South: G	Gunn Street							
1	L2	144	0.0	0.182	6.0	LOS A	0.8	5.6
2	T1	73	0.0	0.182	0.6	LOS A	0.8	5.6
3	R2	100	0.0	0.182	6.4	LOS A	0.8	5.6
Approac	h	317	0.0	0.182	4.9	NA	0.8	5.6
North: O	Id Main Road				•			
7	L2	40	0.0	0.228	6.3	LOS A	1.2	8.4
8	T1	162	0.0	0.228	0.7	LOS A	1.2	8.4
9	R2	180	0.0	0.228	6.3	LOS A	1.2	8.4
Approac	h	382	0.0	0.228	3.9	NA	1.2	8.4
West: Bo	oyer (New Norfo	olk)						
10	L2	85	0.0	0.301	5.9	LOS A	1.3	9.1
11	T1	120	0.0	0.301	8.4	LOS A	1.3	9.1
12	R2	41	0.0	0.301	10.3	LOS A	1.3	9.1
Approac	h	246	0.0	0.301	7.9	LOSA	1.3	9.1
All Vehic	cles	945	0.0	0.301	5.3	NA	1.3	9.1



MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∇ Site: 101 [NB Off-ramp -Old Main Rd - Morning with rezoning]

New Site

Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov ID	Tum	Demano Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	Queue Distance m			
South: Inte	rchange off-ra	mp									
1a	L1	183	0.0	0.096	5.3	LOS A	0.0	0.0			
3a	R1	14	0.0	0.008	5.0	LOS A	0.0	0.2			
Approach		197	0.0	0.096	5.3	NA	0.0	0.2			
NorthEast: RoadName											
24a	L1	26	0.0	0.129	5.5	LOS A	0.5	3.6			
26	R2	89	0.0	0.129	7.7	LOS A	0.5	3.6			
Approach		115	0.0	0.129	7.2	LOS A	0.5	3.6			
NorthWest	RoadName										
27	L2	1	0.0	0.086	5.6	LOS A	0.4	2.9			
29a	R1	152	0.0	0.086	4.8	LOS A	0.4	2.9			
Approach		153	0.0	0.086	4.8	NA	0.4	2.9			
All Vehicle	5	465	0.0	0.129	5.6	NA	0.5	3.6			

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∇ Site: 101 [NB Off-ramp -Old Main Rd - Evening with rezoning]

New Site Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Moveme	nt Performa	nce - Vehicles						
Mov ID	Turn	Demano Total veh/h	l Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	Queue Distance m
South: Int	erchange off-i	ramp						
1a	L1	329	0.0	0.172	5.3	LOS A	0.0	0.0
3a	R1	110	0.0	0.061	5.0	LOS A	0.3	2.0
Approach		439	0.0	0.172	5.2	NA	0.3	2.0
NorthEast	t: RoadName							
24a	L1	4	0.0	0.023	5.4	LOS A	0.1	0.6
26	R2	12	0.0	0.023	9.6	LOS A	0.1	0.6
Approach		16	0.0	0.023	8.5	LOS A	0.1	0.6
NorthWes	st: RoadName	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i						
27	L2	1	0.0	0.096	5.9	LOS A	0.5	3.2
29a	R1	156	0.0	0.096	5.1	LOS A	0.5	3.2
Approach		157	0.0	0.096	5.1	NA	0.5	3.2
All Vehicle	es	612	0.0	0.172	5.3	NA	0.5	3.2



MOVEMENT SUMMARY

∇ Site: 101 [SB Off-ramp - Gunn St - Morning with rezoning]

New Site Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Moveme	nt Perform	ance - Vehicles						
Mov ID	Tum	Demano Total veh/h	d Flows HV %	Deg. Satn v/c	Average Delay sec	Level of Service	95% Back of Vehicles veh	Queue Distance m
East: Gur	nn Street							
5	T1	158	0.0	0.081	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0
Approach		158	0.0	0.081	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
North: SB	off-ramp							
7	L2	11	0.0	0.132	5.8	LOS A	0.5	3.2
9	R2	131	0.0	0.132	6.7	LOS A	0.5	3.2
Approach		142	0.0	0.132	6.6	LOS A	0.5	3.2
West: Old	l Main Rd Ext	ension						
11	T1	80	0.0	0.041	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0
Approach		80	0.0	0.041	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0
All Vehicle	es	380	0.0	0.132	2.5	NA	0.5	3.2

MOVEMENT SUMMARY

abla Site: 101 [SB Off-ramp - Gunn St - Evening with rezoning]

New Site

Site Category: (None) Giveway / Yield (Two-Way)

Movemen	Movement Performance - Vehicles											
Mov	Turn	Demand	Flows	Deg.	Average	Level of	95% Back of	Queue				
ID		Total	ΗV	Satn	Delay	Service	Vehicles	Distance				
		veh/h	%	v/c	sec		veh	m				
East: Gunn	Street											
5	T1	131	0.0	0.067	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0				
Approach		131	0.0	0.067	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0				
North: SB off-ramp												
7	L2	11	0.0	0.206	6.3	LOS A	0.7	5.2				
9	R2	188	0.0	0.206	7.3	LOS A	0.7	5.2				
Approach		199	0.0	0.206	7.2	LOS A	0.7	5.2				
West: Old N	1ain Rd Ex	tension										
11	T1	207	0.0	0.106	0.0	LOS A	0.0	0.0				
Approach		207	0.0	0.106	0.0	NA	0.0	0.0				
All Vehicles		537	0.0	0.206	2.7	NA	0.7	5.2				

