

MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
OF THE BRIGHTON COUNCIL HELD IN THE
COUNCIL CHAMBERS, COUNCIL OFFICES, OLD BEACH
AT 5.30 P.M. ON TUESDAY,
20th APRIL 2021

PRESENT: Cr Curran (Acting Mayor); Cr Garlick; Cr Gray; Cr

Murtagh; Cr Owen and Cr Whelan.

**IN ATTENDANCE**: Mr J Dryburgh (General Manager); Mr G Davoren (Deputy

General Manager); Mrs J Banks (Governance Manager); Mr D Allingham (Manager Development Services) and Mr H

Macpherson (Municipal Engineer).

# 1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY:

- 2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES:
- 2.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING OF 16<sup>th</sup> MARCH 2021:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Whelan seconded that the Minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting of 16<sup>th</sup> March 2021, be confirmed.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

2.2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL MEETING OF COUNCIL (HELD IN CLOSED SESSION) 1<sup>ST</sup> APRIL 2021:

Cr Whelan moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the Minutes of the Special Council meeting of 1<sup>st</sup> April 2021, be confirmed.

**CARRIED** 

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/04/2021

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 2.3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AUTHORITY MEETING OF 13th APRIL 2021

Cr Gray moved, Cr Murtagh seconded that the Minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting of 13<sup>th</sup> April 2021, be confirmed.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 3. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Murtagh seconded that Cr Geard and Cr Foster and Cr Jeffries be granted leave of absence.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

# In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND DEPUTATIONS:

• Inspector Philippa Burk of Bridgewater Police Station addressed Council.

# 5. DECLARATION OF INTEREST:

In accordance with Part 5, Section 48 of the *Local Government Act* 1993, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have an interest in any item on the agenda; and

20/04/2021

Part 2 Regulation 8 (7) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have, a pecuniary interest in any item on the agenda.

Accordingly, Councillors are requested to advise of any interest they may have in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which the Council has resolved to deal with, in accordance with Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

There were no declarations of interest.

# 6. REPORTS FROM COUNCILLORS:

#### 6.1 MAYOR'S COMMUNICATIONS:

As the Mayor was absent due to illness there was no update.

# 6.2 REPORTS FROM COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES WITH OTHER ORGANISATIONS:

# **DECISION:**

Cr Owen advised that he would be attending a Landcare field day the following day.

Cr Whelan moved and Cr Murtagh seconded that the report be noted.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 6.3 CORRESPONDENCE FROM SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS ASSOCIATION (STCA), LGAT, TASWATER AND JOINT AUTHORITIES:

Correspondence and reports from the STCA, LGAT, TasWater and Joint Authorities.

# 7. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS:

In accordance with the requirements of Section 8(2)(c) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, it was reported that a workshop was held to discuss Council's Microwise business at 3.00pm on the 29<sup>th</sup> March 2021. Councillors in attendance were:- Mayor Foster, Deputy Mayor Curran, Cr Gray, Cr Geard, Cr Jeffries, Cr Murtagh, Cr Owen, Cr Whelan (joined remotely at 3.15pm) and Cr Garlick.

# 8. NOTICES OF MOTION:

There were no notices of motion.

# 9. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA:

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8(6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Council, by absolute majority may approve the consideration of a matter not appearing on the agenda, where the General Manager has reported:

- (a) the reason it was not possible to include the matter on the agenda, and
- (b) that the matter is urgent, and
- (c) that advice has been provided under Section 65 of the *Local Government Act* 1993.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Council resolve by absolute majority to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Gray moved and Cr Owen seconded that supplementary Item 12.6 LGAT – General Management Committee – Nomination – Cr Tennille Murtagh be considered as an urgent item.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

## 10. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES:

There were no committee reports for the April Ordinary Council Meeting.

Cr Whelan moved and Cr Garlick seconded that the Council meeting be adjourned to allow for the Planning Authority.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran Cr Garlick Cr Gray Cr Murtagh Cr Owen Cr Whelan

Cr Gray took the Chair.

#### 11. COUNCIL ACTING AS PLANNING AUTHORITY:

In accordance with the provisions of Part 2 Regulation 25 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the intention of the Council to act as a Planning Authority pursuant to the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993 is to be noted. In accordance with Regulation 25, the Council will act as a planning authority in respect to those matters appearing under Item 11 on this agenda, inclusive of any supplementary items.

# 11.1 SECTION 38 REPORT- AMENDMENT TO BRIGHTON LOCAL PROVISIONS SCHEDULE - REZONE 1 ELDERSLIE ROAD, BRIGHTON FROM GENERAL RESIDENTIAL ZONE TO COMMUNITY PURPOSE ZONE:

**Type of Report:** Planning Authority

**Application No:** RZ 2021-02

**Address:** 1 Elderslie Road, Brighton

**Requested by:** ERA Planning and Environment obo Department of

Education

**Proposal:** Rezone 1 Elderslie Road, Brighton from General Residential

Zone to Community Purpose Zone.

**Zone:** General Residential / Community Purpose

**Author:** Senior Planner (Jo Blackwell)

## 1. Executive Summary

- 1.1. The report considers rezoning the land known as 1 Elderslie Road, Brighton from the General Residential Zone to the Community Purpose Zone under the Brighton Local Provisions Schedule (LPS) which form part of the recently adopted *Tasmanian Planning Scheme Brighton* (the Scheme). The proposed amendment does not seek to alter any overlays or provisions relating to the land.
- 1.2. To proceed, the request must be initiated by the Planning Authority. If initiated, public exhibition would follow and a final decision made by the Tasmanian Planning Commission.
- 1.3. The land is a 10 hectare parcel located at the corner of Brighton and Elderslie Road. The site was recently acquired by the Crown (Department of Education) for the purpose of constructing a state-of-the-art secondary school in Brighton.
- 1.4. The rezoning is considered necessary to support the economic and social benefits for the Brighton Municipality.
- 1.5. The land has not previously been considered for rezoning.
- 1.6. The proposal is recommended for initiation.

# 2. Legislative & Policy Content

- 2.1. The purpose of this report is to enable Council to determine whether to initiate the planning scheme amendment.
- 2.2. The relevant legislation is the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993 (the Act). The provisions of the Act establish the test of whether a planning scheme amendment is reasonable or not.
- 2.3. The request is made under section 37 of the Act.
- 2.4. S.38 requires Council to consider the criteria of the LPS when approving or refusing amendment.
- 2.5. The LPS Criteria is contained in s.34 of the Act.

2.6. This report details the reasons for the officer recommendation. The Planning Authority must consider this report but is not bound to adopt the recommendation. Broadly, the Planning Authority can either: (1) adopt the recommendation, or (2) vary the recommendation by adding, modifying or removing recommended reasons and conditions or replacing an approval with a refusal (or vice versa). Any alternative decision requires a full statement of reasons to comply with the *Judicial Review Act* 2000 and the *Local Government* (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005.

2.7. This report has been prepared with appropriate regard to the State Policies that apply under the *State Policies and Projects Act* 1993.

# 3. Risk & Implications

3.1. Approval or refusal of this application will have no direct financial implications for the Planning Authority.

#### 4. Site Detail

4.1. Pursuant to the LPS the entirety of the subject site is currently zoned General Residential (see Figure 1), arising from a previous rezoning (Amendment RZ 2010/03).



Figure 1: Current Zoning - LPS 2021 (source: www.thelist.tas.gov.au)

4.2. The land subject to the proposed amendment relates to a single parcel of land as identified in Table 1:

Address	Owner	Title Reference	Land Area
1 Elderslie Road, Brighton	The Crown (Department of Education)	CT 178981/1	10 ha

- 4.3. The land is located in the south western quadrant of the intersection of Brighton Road, Elderslie Road and William Street. The site is generally rectangular in shape, other than for a small excision on the corner of Elderslie Road and Brighton Road to allow for future road widening on the corner.
- 4.4. The site has frontages to both Elderslie Road and Brighton Road.
- 4.5. The majority of the land is grassed with localised woody weeds. The applicant has submitted a natural values assessment of the site, (EcoTas 1 November 2020) in support of its application. That report:
  - does not identify any native vegetation types requiring special management;
  - does not identify any threatened flora or fauna;
  - does identify species classified as declared weeds;
  - does not identify any plant disease;
- 4.6. An aboriginal heritage assessment (AHA) of the site has been submitted in support of the application (Cultural Heritage Management Australia, November 2020). That report does not identify any registered sites within the lot boundaries. The AHA concludes that there are no Aboriginal heritage constraints to future use of the site as a High School and recommends an Unanticipated Discovery Process should be implemented at the time of construction.
- 4.7. The site is with water and sewer serviced land areas and is capable of being serviced by reticulated stormwater, water and sewerage infrastructure. A TasWater reticulation main is situated along the northern boundary.
- 4.8. The site is dissected by a TasNetwork's Electricity Transmission Line.
- 4.9. There are no identified hazards on the subject land.

# 5. Relevant Background

- 5.1. A new high school is required to accommodate the rapidly growing community in and around Brighton. It is viewed by the Department of Education and State Government as an essential development to support the projected population growth in the area and to take pressure off other state schools.
- 5.2. Following public consultation, the subject site was identified as being suitable for the construction of a new state of the art learning facility to cater for Years 7-12 students in Brighton (Brighton High School), a key capital project in the state Liberal Government's 2018 election commitments.
- 5.3. The site was subsequently acquired by the Crown for this purpose in September 2020.

## 6. Proposal

- 6.1. Pursuant to s37 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993 (the Act), the Applicant seeks to amend the Brighton LPS by:
  - Rezoning the land contained in Certificate of Title Volume 1789981 Folio 1
    (PID 9120867) and known as 1 Elderslie Road, Brighton from General
    Residential to Community Purpose.
- 6.2. The proposal is supported by the attached Brighton High School Rezoning Request, prepared by ERA Planning and Environment dated 14<sup>th</sup> April 2021.
- 6.3. Council (acting as planning authority) may initiate an amendment to the Scheme pursuant to s38(2) of the Act.

# 7. Planning Scheme Implications

#### 7.1. **Zone Purpose**

- The Scheme establishes a series of objectives for achieving sustainable use and development of land, which are relevant for the consideration of any planning scheme amendment.
- It is relevant for this amendment, to outline the relevant provisions which relate to the proposed amendment to rezone the land to Community Purpose.

- The zone purpose statements for the Community Purpose Zone include:
  - 27.1.1 To provide for key community facilities and services including health, educational, government, cultural and social facilities.
  - 27.1.2 To encourage multi-purpose, flexible and adaptable social infrastructure.
- The proposal accords with the zone purpose.

# 7.2. Allowable Uses

• The applicant's proposal includes at Table 3 (ERA Report, pp 12-14) an "Analysis of allowable uses within the Community Purpose Zone". In summary, that table identifies that, should the site be rezoned to Community Purpose, the following changes to the Use Table will occur:

Business and professional services will become Permitted (currently discretionary)

Community meeting and Entertainment will become Permitted (currently discretionary)

Crematoria and cemeteries will become Permitted (currently Prohibited)

Custodial Facility will become Discretionary (for existing facilities only) (currently prohibited)

Educational and Occasional Care will become Permitted (currently Discretionary/No Permit required for home based child care)

Emergency Services will become Permitted (currently Discretionary)

Hospital Services will become Permitted (currently Prohibited)

Recycling and Waste Disposal will become Discretionary (if existing); Permitted (if waste transfer station) (currently Prohibited)

Residential will become Permitted (if for aged care, respite, retirement village or aged or community housing) (currently No Permit Required / Permitted)

Sport and Recreation will become Permitted (currently Discretionary)

Vehicle Parking will become Discretionary (currently Prohibited)

Visitor Accommodation will become Prohibited (currently Permitted)

- The remaining uses remain as currently provided for.
- 7.3. The proposed rezoning would provide for a permitted pathway for the construction of the proposed secondary school which has been identified for the site, together with ancillary uses relating to occupation of the site by the Department of Education.

# 8. Planning Assessment

8.1. Requirements of the Act (S34(2))

Section 34(2) requires:

- (2) The LPS criteria to be met by a relevant planning instrument are that the instrument:
- (a) contains all the provisions that the SPPs specify must be contained in an LPS; and
- (b) is in accordance with section 32; and
- (c) furthers the objectives set out in Schedule 1; and
- (d) is consistent with each State policy; and
- (da) satisfies the relevant criteria in relation to the TPPs; and
- (e) as far as practicable, is consistent with the regional land use strategy, if any, for the regional area in which is situated the land to which the relevant planning instrument relates; and
- (f) has regard to the strategic plan, prepared under section 66 of the Local Government Act 1993, that applies in relation to the land to which the relevant planning instrument relates; and
- (g) as far as practicable, is consistent with and co-ordinated with any LPSs that apply to municipal areas that are adjacent to the municipal area to which the relevant planning instrument relates; and
- (h) has regard to the safety requirements set out in the standards prescribed under the Gas Safety Act 2019.

# 8.2. Amendment is in accordance with Section 32 (s34(2)(b))

8.2.1 Section 32 requires that an LPS is to consist of certain provisions that apply only to a single municipal area specified in the LPS. It is considered that the proposal does not contradict s32.

# 8.3. Furthers RMPS Objectives (s34(2)(c))

The objectives of the Resource Management and Planning System must be furthered by the rezoning request.

(a) to promote the sustainable development of natural and physical resources and the maintenance of ecological processes and genetic diversity; and

The proposed amendment does not conflict with this objective.

(b) to provide for the fair, orderly and sustainable use and development of air, land and water; and

The amendment continues to provide for fair, orderly and sustainable use and development of air, land and water.

(c) to encourage public involvement in resource management and planning; and

The public will be involved in the draft planning scheme amendment through opportunity to make representations and attend public hearings.

(d) to facilitate economic development in accordance with the objectives set out in paragraphs (a), (b) and (c); and

The draft amendment will facilitate economic development through the future development of the land through a new major educational facility supporting the surrounding community. Economic outcomes will be achieved through the construction phase and ongoing local employment opportunities.

(e) to promote the sharing of responsibility for resource management and planning between the different spheres of Government, the community and industry in the State.

The amendment process demonstrates the sharing of responsibility for resource management and planning between different spheres of government, the community and industry.

The proposed Planning Scheme Amendment as it relates to the Objectives of Part 2 of Schedule 1 of LUPAA is discussed below:

(a) to require sound strategic planning and co-ordinated action by State and local government;

The proposed amendment is consistent with the *Southern Tasmania Regional Land Use Strategy* 2010-2035, *Brighton Structure Plan* 2018 and the *Brighton Council Strategic Plan* 2019-2029.

The Planning Scheme amendment process allows for coordinated action by State and local government.

(b) to establish a system of planning instruments to be the principal way of setting objectives, policies and controls for the use, development and protection of land.

The proposal has been submitted in accordance with Section 37 of the Act and is consistent with all relevant legislation. The proposed amendment will form part of the Tasmanian Planning Scheme - Brighton, which controls the use, development and protection of land in accordance with the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993.

(c) to ensure that the effects on the environment are considered and provide for explicit consideration of social and economic effects when decisions are made about the use and development of land; and

The land contains no natural values of major significance. Risks from future development can be appropriately managed under the *LPS* and any subsequent planning schemes.

(d) to require land use and development planning and policy to be easily integrated with environmental, social, economic, conservation and resource management policies at State, regional and municipal levels; and

The proposed amendment does not conflict with this objective and is consistent with State, regional and local planning policies and strategies.

(e) to provide for the consolidation of approvals for land use or development and related matters, and to co-ordinate planning approvals with related approvals; and

This Objective is not directly relevant to the current matter.

(f) to promote the health and wellbeing of all Tasmanians and visitors to Tasmania by ensuring a pleasant, efficient and safe environment for working, living and recreation;

The proposed amendment will continue to support health and wellbeing outcomes for young people in the Brighton area by facilitating the development of a state-of-the-art secondary educational facility in close proximity to the Brighton town centre.

(g) to conserve those buildings, areas or other places which are of scientific, aesthetic, architectural or historical interest, or otherwise of special cultural value; and

The subject land has not been identified as having heritage values.

(h) to protect public infrastructure and other assets and enable the orderly provision and co-ordination of public utilities and other facilities for the benefit of the community; and

The proposed amendment will support the orderly provision and coordination of public utilities that will benefit the community.

The permit application process provides adequate safeguards to protect public infrastructure.

(i) to provide a planning framework which fully considers land capability.

The proposed amendment does not affect the attainment of this objective.

#### 8.4. Consistent with State Policies (s34(2)(d))

# • State Coastal Policy 1996

The *State Coastal Policy* 1996 applies to land within 1 km of the high-water mark. The subject land is more than 1km from the high-water mark and this policy does not apply.

#### • State Policy on the Protection of Agricultural Land 2009

The State Policy on the Protection of Agricultural Land 2009 protects Prime Agricultural Land (Land Capability Classes 1, 2, and 3) and conversion of agricultural land to non-agricultural uses is subject to the principles of the Policy. The subject land is zoned for residential use. Accordingly, the policy does not apply.

# • The State Policy on Water Quality Management 1997

The subject land has full access to reticulated services. Given this, it is considered that there will be no direct impact on the land during future development.

# 8.5. Consistent with TPPs (s34(2)(da))

There are currently no Tasmanian Planning Policies in effect

# 8.6. Southern Tasmania Regional Land Use Strategy 2010-2035 (s34(2)(e))

- As required under s.34(2)(e) the proposed amendment must be, as far as practicable, consistent with regional land use strategies. In southern Tasmania, the relevant regional land use strategy is the *Southern Tasmania Regional Land Use Strategy* 2010-2035 (STRLUS).
- The STRLUS outlines strategic direction as to how the STRLUS will support Tasmania's regional vision. The strategic directions provide a broad policy framework to guide what we plan and decide how we do it.

The supporting documents sets out each strategic direction, however it is considered that the proposal for additional educational facilities align particularly with SD8 – Supporting Strong and Healthy Communities and SD10 - Creating Liveable Communities. All relevant policies are considered in the table below.

Policy	Action	Comment
BNV1	BNV1.1	
Maintain and manage the regions biodiversity and ecosystems and their resilience to the impacts of climate change.	Manage and protect significant vegetation at the earliest possible stage of the land use planning process. Where possible, ensure zones that provide for intensive use or development that are not applied to areas that retain biodiversity values that are to be recognised and protected by Planning Schemes.	The applicant has submitted a natural values assessment. The site has not been identified as having any biodiversity or geodiversity values of significance.
MRH 1 Minimise the risk of loss of life and property from bushfires.	MRH 1.1 Provide for the management and mitigation of bushfire risk at the earliest possible stage of the land use	The subject site is currently identified as being within a Bushfire Prone Area.

planning process (rezoning or if no rezoning required; subdivision) by the identification and protection (in perpetuity) of buffer distances or through the design and layout of lots.

MRH 1.5 Allow new development (at either the development rezoning or application stage) in bushfire prone areas only where any necessary vegetation clearance for bushfire risk reduction is in accordance with the policies on biodiversity and native vegetation.

The applicant makes the following comments:

The subject site is identified as being located within a Bushfire Prone Area. The current designation is likely to change as this greenfield development precinct is developed and the subject land and adjoining land is no longer comprised of grasslands.

Under the current regulatory system, the use of the site for a school would fall under the Vulnerable Use definition within the Bushfire Prone Areas Code. Given that the site is grasslands as is the adjoining site, it is considered reasonable that hazard management measures can be accommodated on the subject land at the development stage.

The applicant's comments are supported.

CV1 – Recognise, retain and protect Aboriginal heritage values within the region for their character, culture, sense of place, contribution to our understanding history and contribution to the regions competitive advantage.

CV1.3 Avoid the allocation of land use growth opportunities in areas where Aboriginal cultural heritage values are known to exist.

An Aboriginal Heritage Assessment forms part of the application documents. No Aboriginal heritage sites were identified during the field survey. The assessment concluded that the archaeological significance of the site is low.

SI Provide high quality social and community facilities to meet the education, health and care needs of the community and facilitate healthy, happy and, productive lives. SI 1.2 Match location and delivery of social infrastructure with the needs of the community and, where relevant, in sequence with residential land release.

SI 1.3 Provide social infrastructure that is well located and accessible in relation to residential development, public transport services, employment and education opportunities.

SI 1.4 Identify and protect sites for social infrastructure, particularly in high social dependency areas, The Applicant makes the following comments:

The provision of a new Brighton High School is a key capital investment by the Tasmanian Government to deliver high quality state-of-the-art educational facilities for a growth area on the outskirts of Greater Hobart.

The subject land was identified through a community engagement process and will be located in proximity to the town centre and nearby residential areas. It is located within a Greenfield Development Precinct identified in the

targeted urban growth areas (both infill and greenfield) and in identified Activity Centres.

original STRLUS.

The new Brighton High School will facilitate improved access to educational facilities and will meet predicted future demand for the Brighton area. Presently the only secondary schooling facility in the Brighton LGA is the Jordan River Learning Federation. Brighton is expected to have strong growth in high school aged students due to current demographics. Additionally, educational facilities in the Brighton area also provide for surrounding towns and suburbs including parts of the Southern Midlands LGA. development of the site is also intended to incorporate multi-purpose facilities that will be availabel for community use outside of school hours. This multipurpose use is particiarly better achieved through the application of the Community Purpose zone rather than the current zoning.

The Applicant's comments are supported.

SRD 1 Provide a sustainable and compact network of settlements with Greater Hobart at its core, that is capable of meeting projected demand.

SRD 2 Manage residential growth for Greater Hobart on a whole of settlement basis and in a manner that balances the needs for greater sustainability, housing choice and affordability.

SRD 2.8 Aim for the residential zone in planning schemes to encompass a 10 to 15 year supply of greenfield residential land when calculated on a whole of settlement basis for Greater Hobart

SRD 2.3 Provide greenfield land for residential purposes across the following Greenfield Development Precincts:

- Bridgewater North
- Brighton South
- Droughty Point Corridor
- Gagebrook/Old Beach
- Granton (Upper Hilton Road

The proposal includes conversion of 10ha of residential land at an identified greenfield site (SRD2.3) at Brighton South from General Residential to community purpose, resulting in a reduction of 10ha residential land supply.

Council has recently applied to the Minister for Planning to amend the Southern Tasmanian Reginal Land Use Strategy to extend the Urban Growth boundary over part of 69 Brighton Road by 11.27ha. The extension to the UGB, if approved, will effectively replace the residential land "lost" to the land being utilised for the proposed Brighton High School site.

69 Brighton Road adjoins the land proposed for rezoning, and is

**Ordinary Council Meeting** 

20/04/2021

up to and including Black Snake Village)

- Midway Point North
- Risdon Vale to Geilston Bay
   Sorell Township East
- Spring Farm/Huntingfield South Page |

considered to be a logical extension of the urban growth boundary (see image below).



As such, it is considered that the proposed amendment continues to further the requirements the STRLUS.

# 8.7. Brighton Council Strategic Plan 2019-2029 (s34(2)(f))

- 8.7.1 The proposed amendment is consistent with the below relevant strategies from the Brighton Council Strategic Plan 2019-2029:
  - S1.2: Create Housing/Employment/Plan/Education (Liveability)
  - S1.3 Provide Public Facilities/Amenities
  - S1.5 Build a resilient community and environmentally sustainable future
  - S2.2 Education/Capability Build

The proposed amendment does not conflict with any of Council's strategies.

#### 8.8. Brighton Structure Plan 2018

8.8.1 While consistency with the *Brighton Structure Plan* 2018 (the Structure Plan) is not a legislative requirement under the Act, it does represent orderly and sound strategic planning direction for the Brighton municipal area.

The Structure Plan guides the major changes to land use, built form and public spaces that together can achieve identified economic, social and environmental objectives for Brighton for years to 2023.

Strategy 21: Identify Potential New High School Sites is relevant to the proposed amendment. The Actions from Strategy 21 are summarised in the table below.

Action	Comment
Strategy 21: Identify Potential New	High School Sites
Minimum area of 8ha, although this can be reduced if co-located with active open space facilities	The subject site has a land area of 10ha.
A generally rectangular area	The subject site is generally rectangular in shape.
Close to but not within town centres – as the highest and best use of that land will be for employment and medium density housing – or with good visual and physical links to a town centre	The subject site is approximately 250m south west of the Brighton township.
Located on a higher order road to accommodate the necessary traffic volumes	Brighton Road is an arterial road providing connection between the Midlands Highway and Brighton. Brighton Road caters for approximately 6500 vehicle movements per day.
	The subject site forms part of the South Brighton Masterplan currently being prepared
Close proximity to the public transport network.	The subject site is bounded on two sides by the public transport network, with Metro providing services along Brighton Road and Elderslie Road. Additional services can also be accessed from William Street.
Good walking and cycling connections	The subject site is connected the Brighton township by established

	footpaths.  Further walking and cycling connections will be considered as part of the South Brighton Masterplan
Roads on multiple sides to provide drop off and parking opportunities and to provide good passive surveillance	The site is bounded on two sides by Brighton Road and Elderslie Road. Additional connections are being considered as part of the South Brighton Masterplan.

The proposed amendment is considered to be consistent with the relevant strategies and actions from the Structure Plan.

#### 8.9. Local and Common Provisions:

8.9.1 In addition to requiring that a Draft Amendment is consistent as far as practicable with the Regional Land Use Strategy, Section 30O requires that an amendment to a local provision of the scheme is not directly or indirectly inconsistent with the common provisions.

The proposed amendment does not conflict with common or overriding local provisions of the Scheme.

#### 8.10. Content of LPS (s34(2)(g))

- 8.10.1 The LPS came into effect for the Brighton LGA on 14<sup>th</sup> April 2021 and sets out requirements for the use or development of land in accordance with the Act.
- 8.10.2 The proposed amendment seeks to rezone the land to Community Purpose utilising the state planning provisions. It is considered that the application of the Community Purpose Zone for the site for the purpose of a secondary educational facility is consistent with the requirement relating to the contents of an LPS pursuant to s32 of the Act.

#### 8.11 Gas Pipeline safety

8.11.1 The subject land is not affected by the Gas Pipeline. Accordingly, there are no issues of gas pipeline safety associated with the draft amendment.

20/04/2021

#### 9. Conclusion

- 9.1. The proposal to amend the *Brighton Local Provisions Schedule* is consistent with regional and local land use strategy and the requirements of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993.
- 9.2. On this basis, it is recommended that Council initiate and certify draft amendment RZ 2021-01, as detailed in the attachments to this report.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

- A. That in accordance with Section 38(2)(a) of the Land Use Planning & Approvals Act 1993, for the draft amendment to be known as RZ 2021-02, Council agree to rezone the land contained in Certificate of Title Volume 1789981 Folio 1 (PID 9120867) and known as 1 Elderslie Road, Brighton from General Residential to Community Purpose.
- B. That in accordance with Section 40F(2)(a) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993, Council considers that draft amendment RZ 2021-02 satisfies the provisions of Section 34 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993.
- C. That in accordance with Section 40F(3) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993*, Council directs that draft amendment RZ 2021-02 be certified by instrument in writing affixed with the common seal of the Council; and
- D. That in accordance with Section 40F(4) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993, Council directs that a certified copy of draft amendment RZ 2021-02 be given to the Tasmanian Planning Commission within 7 days.
- E. That in accordance with Section 40FA(1) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993, Council directs that a copy of the draft amendment be provided to relevant agencies those State Service Agencies, or State authorities, that the planning authority considers may have an interest in the draft amendment.
- **F.** That in accordance with Section 40G(1) of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993, Council directs that draft amendment RZ 2021-02 be placed on public exhibition.

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/04/2021

# **DECISION:**

Cr Whelan moved and Cr Garlick seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

Cr Whelan moved and Cr Garlick seconded that they move out of the Planning Authority and the Ordinary Council meeting be resumed.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

Cr Foster (Mayor) resumed the Chair.

# 12. REPORTS FROM OFFICERS:

# 12.1 MONTHLY FINANCE REPORT AS AT 31 MARCH 2021:

**AUTHOR:** Deputy General Manager

(Mr G Davoren)

# **Background:**

The finance reports were submitted for consideration. They comprised the summarised financial position, revenue and expenses of the Council for nine months of the 2020/21 financial year.

# **Consultation:**

Nil

# **Risk Implications:**

Nil

	~ 23 ~	•			
Ordinary Council Meeting Financial/Budget I	mnlications:		20/04/2021		
_	inplications.				
Not Applicable.					
Strategic Plan:					
Not Applicable.					
<b>Social Implication</b>	Social Implications: Not Applicable.				
Not Applicable.					
Environmental or Climate Change Implications:					
Not Applicable.					
Economic Implica	tions:				
Not Applicable.					
Other Issues:					
Nil					
Assessment:					
Nil					
Options:					
1. As per the recom	mendation.				
2. Not receive the re	eport.				
RECOMMENDATI	ION:				
That the monthly finar	ncial report as of 31 Ma	arch 2021 be received.			
DECISION:					
Cr Gray moved, Cr Garl	ick seconded that the rep	ort be received.			
	VOTING R	FCORD	CARRIED		
	In favour	Against			
	Cr Curran Cr Garlick	J			

Cr Gray Cr Murtagh Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 12.2 OUTSTANDING RATES & SUNDRY DEBT: 45 TOTTENHAM ROAD GAGEBROOK, PROPERTY ID 5052766, TITLE REFERENCE 18017/1243:

**AUTHOR:** Deputy General Manager

(Mr G Davoren)

# **Background:**

For rates on land outstanding greater than 3 years; Section 137(1) of the *Local Government Act* 1993 allows for Council to sell that land as if it were the owner (i) by public auction; or (ii) if the proceeds of the sale are unlikely to meet the costs of the public auction, by direct sale; or apply to the Minister for an order that the land be transferred to the council if it is not possible after reasonable inquiry to identify the owner of the land or the whereabouts of the owner.

# **Consultation:**

Local Government Act 1993 Part 9 - Rates and Charges; Deputy General Manager; Senior Rates Officer.

# **Risk Implications:**

Nil.

# Financial/Budget Implications:

\$4434	Current rates debt
\$2193	Current sundry debt
\$35300	Contractor demolition estimate
\$800	Building surveyor invoice estimate
\$3000	Advertising fees estimate to satisfy process of 137 sale
\$3500	Real estate fees estimate if \$50,000 sale
\$133	Estimate land tax 1/7/2021
\$1000	Estimate legal fees
\$50,360	TOTAL ESTIMATED LIABILITY

Government Land value as at 1 July, 2018 is \$40,000.

# Strategic Plan:

Relates to our Goal 1 to Strengthen our Communities.

# **Social Implications:**

Nil.

# **Environmental or Climate Change Implications:**

Not applicable.

# **Economic Implications:**

Not applicable.

#### Other Issues:

Nil.

# **Assessment:**

On 12 February 2021, the building was destroyed by fire and an emergency order was issued for demolition. Council and Tasmania Police had been unable to locate the owner. The building has been demolished and invoices issued for security, building surveyor [pending] and demolition contractor [pending].

The last rates payment was received on 12 September 2017. Correspondence from 2017 onwards to was sent to a Bridgewater PO Box and was subsequently return ie. RTS – no other contact details have been received. The rates debt was lodged with Tasmanian Collection Service in May 2018 and they also were unable to locate the owner.

Tasmania Police advised Council on 3 March 2021 that NSW Police have advised that the owner is deceased (12 October 2017) and there are no other contact details for any other family members.

There is no mortgage or caveat showing on the Title as at 15 March 2021 to indicate any further liability to be cleared at the time of the sale.

# **Options:**

- 1. As per the recommendation.
- 2. Council does not proceed with the sale of this property.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

Council proceeds with the direct sale of this property in accordance with Section 137 of Part 9 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, so that the sundry debt and other costs involved in the sale can be recouped by Council.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Gray moved, Cr Murtagh seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 12.3 AMENDMENT - DOG MANAGEMENT POLICY AND DECLARED AREAS:

**AUTHOR:** Governance Manager

(Mrs J Banks)

# **Background:**

Brighton Council has conducted a full review of its Dog Management Policy. This was being undertaken in three stages as outlined in the Ordinary Council Meeting Agenda of 19 January 2021 and in accordance with the community consultation requirements of the *Dog Control Act* 2000.

At the third stage of the review process (Stage 3: Adopt Policy and Declare Areas) Council proposed an amendment to the declared areas for Childs Drive Park, Old Beach.

From 30 November to 18 December 2020, as part of the original community engagement process and pursuant to section 24 of the *Dog Control Act 2000 (the Act)*, Brighton Council gave notice of its intention to officially declare Childs Drive Park, Old Beach as a *Dog Restricted Area - Dogs on lead at all times*.

Based on the submissions received at the January 2021 Ordinary Council Meeting, there were no recommended changes to the draft Dog Management Policy and the intended declared areas.

However, on reflection Council decided that Childs Drive Park, Old Beach should be declared a *Prohibited Public Area - Dogs are prohibited from entering at all times. A person must not take a dog into this area.* The rationale being that Childs Drive Park contains a children's playground area and according to section 28 (1)(e) of the *Dog Control Act* 2000, a person must not take a dog into any area within 10 metres of a children's playground.

In February 2021, Brighton Council endorsed this amendment to the zoning for Childs Drive Park, Old Beach for advertising and in accordance with the *Dog Control Act* 2000, *Part 3 – Control of Dogs, Division 2*, the amendment was advertised for community feedback as per the consultation requirements.

The amendment was open for public comment from 1 to 19 March 2021.

# **Community Feedback on Amendment:**

Council received a total of 44 submissions from the public. With the exception of one respondent, all reside in Old Beach. A summary of the submissions is attached.

There was an overwhelming negative response to the proposal to declare Childs Drive Park a Prohibited Public Area that is *prohibited to dogs at all times*.

The response from the previous community engagement process and the recent public comment on the amendment, indicates that residents are positive and very receptive to this area being declared as a Dog Restricted Area, where *dogs must be on lead at all times*, in fact many believed it already was.

The key points made by respondents were as follows:

- Childs Drive Park is an important thoroughfare for those walking their dogs to get easy and safe access to cross the East Derwent Highway to the Old Beach Dog Park and foreshore walking track.
- As this is a popular thoroughfare, dogs are naturally on lead as they walk through the park.
- The community strongly believe that this should be an 'on lead' area for all to use safely.
- Local residents have predominantly observed responsible use of the park with the only issue being some education required around owners picking up dog poo, but more so from nature strips in the area than in the park.
- Parents of children have disagreed with the amendment, being comfortable
  with the status quo where there currently appears to be responsible shared use
  of the park, allowing healthy, outdoor time for all.
- Strong sentiment that dog owners are being punished with this amendment.

#### Consultation:

Community, Animal Control Officer, Senior Management Team. Public consultation has been undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the *Act*.

# **Risk Implications:**

Inability to enforce dog management rules if areas that fall outside of Section 28 of the *Dog Control Act* 2000 are not formally declared by Council according to the prescribed process in the *Act*. The new policy and declared areas have been developed in accordance with the requirements of the *Act*.

# **Financial Implications:**

There may be some requirement for updated signage for some of the declared areas.

# Strategic Plan:

Goal 1: Strengthen our Communities

- S1.1: Understand/Improve Health and Wellbeing
- S1.3: Provide Public Facilities/Amenities
- S1.4: Support Connected Communities

# **Social Implications:**

Promotion and education around responsible dog ownership and good governance in the area of dog management, contributes positively to a healthy, happy and connected community.

# **Environmental or Climate Change Implications:**

Not applicable.

# **Economic Implications:**

Not applicable.

#### Other Issues:

Not applicable.

#### **Assessment:**

The review of Brighton Council's Dog Management Policy and Declared Areas has been undertaken in accordance with the *Dog Control Act* 2000 and best practice community engagement processes.

# **Options:**

- 1. As per the recommendations.
- 2. Endorse the draft Dog Management Policy and proposed Declared Areas, including the amendment that was advertised for public comment in March 2021.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 1. Based on the public submissions received, Council does not endorse the implementation and formal notification of the amendment to the declared areas for Childs Drive Park, Old Beach as a *Prohibited Public Area Dogs are prohibited from entering at all times. A person must not take a dog into this area.*
- 2. Pursuant to Section 20 of the *Dog Control Act* 2000 Council declares the areas contained in Appendix B of the Dog Management Policy as presented to Council originally in January 2021. Childs Drive Park, Old Beach to be declared *Dog Restricted Area Dogs on lead at all times*.
- 3. Pursuant to Section 25 of the *Dog Control Act* 2000 Council endorses notification of the declared areas in Attachment 3 on 24 April 2021 to take effect on 25 May 2021 and remain in force until 25 May 2026.
- 4. Council endorses the Dog Management Policy in its original draft format dated January 2021, to be reviewed no later than 2025, or earlier if required.

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/04/2021

# **DECISION:**

*Cr Gray moved, Cr Whelan seconded that the recommendation be adopted.* 

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

Cr Murtagh left the meeting 6.35pm

Cr Murtagh rejoined the meeting 6.37pm

# 12.4 INTRODUCTION OF FOGO – COMMUNITY SURVEY FINDINGS:

**AUTHOR:** Manager Asset Services

(Mr H Macpherson)

# **Background:**

In August 2020, Council reviewed 'A Case for Change' report that had previously been submitted to Council in order to begin to consider a range of future waste management options and received a strategic business case at this time.

One of the recommendations that was endorsed unanimously by Council in August 2020 was that Council conduct a feasibility study into the implementation of a FOGO (Food Organics, Garden Organics) service for the Brighton community, to align waste service provision with Brighton's strategic priorities.

# Community Engagement Objectives:

An investigation into the implementation of FOGO has commenced, with an initial community engagement process being undertaken to ascertain amongst residents who currently receive a residential kerbside recycling and/or waste collection service:

- Awareness and understanding of FOGO.
- Gaps in understanding and initial information needs.
- Current household waste management behaviours.
- Likelihood to use a FOGO service pre and post the introduction of price points.
- Likelihood to opt out of the service.

# Survey Method:

The community survey was conducted from 9 to 30 March 2021. It was an online survey that was promoted via Council's social media, website and the printed version of the Brighton Community News.

A direct email with the survey link was also sent to all of those ratepayers for whom we currently have an email address.

Residents who were unable to complete the survey online were invited to contact Council via phone or in person to complete the survey.

To be eligible to complete the survey, respondents needed to currently receive a residential kerbside recycling and/or waste collection service from the Brighton Council. The focus of the community survey was a <u>residential</u> FOGO service, but it was explained that as a business in the Brighton Municipality, a FOGO service will be made available upon request.

# **Key Findings:**

The results of the survey will be more comprehensively analysed over the next few weeks, including the open-ended responses/comments but the key findings provide a clear picture as to the community's thoughts and feelings on a FOGO service.

The total number of survey respondents was N = 844, with n=823 currently receiving a residential kerbside collection service from Brighton Council and therefore completing the full survey.

Please keep in mind, those who live in Old Beach (43%) and Brighton (34%) were most represented in the survey. Residents of Bridgewater, Gagebrook and Herdsmans Cove made up 14% of respondents, with the remainder of residents spread across other suburbs/areas in our municipality.

- Awareness of FOGO was relatively high.
  - 79% had heard of FOGO before completing the survey.
- Current self-assessed understanding of FOGO is at a solid level for a community that has not yet had direct exposure to a FOGO service.
  - 48% described their level of understanding as Good/Very Good
  - 32% described it as Average
  - 12 % Poor
  - 9% No understanding at all
- Current household behaviours when it comes to food and garden waste:
  - 37% dispose of green waste at the waste transfer station at least once a year or more often.
  - 37% claim to be composting at home.
- Likelihood to use a FOGO service overall is strong (prior to introducing price points) with 77% being Extremely/Very Likely to use the service:

- 52% Extremely Likely
- 25% Very Likely
- 9% Undecided
- 6% Unlikely
- 9% Not at all Likely
- At \$1.95 per fortnight this drops down to 67% Extremely/Very Likely to use.
- At \$2.90 per fortnight it drops down to 46% Extremely/Very Likely to use.
- At \$3.85 per fortnight it drops down to 32% Extremely/Very Likely to use.

# The <u>roll-out approach for FOGO</u> was presented to residents as follows:

Council's FOGO service will be an opt-out service. At this stage it is anticipated that the FOGO service will be rolled out to all households that currently have a kerbside collection service in the Brighton Municipality (all of these households will receive a 240 litre FOGO wheelie bin and kitchen caddy).

There will be a trial period of two months for all eligible households to experience FOGO with no charge. There will be an opportunity to opt-out after this time.

We will be encouraging everyone to recycle their food and garden waste to help reduce landfill and reduce overall waste management costs for ratepayers. However, we understand that some people might not have a need for, be able to use or afford the FOGO service.

Residents/ratepayers can apply to have an exemption during this period based on:

- Being a home composter
- Financial hardship
- Exceptional circumstances
  - Opinion on this approach was relatively positive with:
    - 76% saying they Strongly Agree/Agree with this approach.
    - 16% Unsure
    - 9% Disagree/Strongly Disagree
  - When all was said and done:
    - 56% said they were Not at All Likely/Unlikely to opt out and;
    - 23% not sure/undecided
    - 21% said they were Extremely/Very Likely to opt-out.

# **Consultation:**

Community/residents of the municipality, Senior Management Team, other Councils with FOGO experience, Centacare Evolve Housing.

# **Risk Implications:**

The State Government Waste Levy to be introduced in 2021, will significantly increase waste disposal costs. It is important that Council investigate ways to divert recoverable waste streams away from landfill and seriously consider solutions that minimise the financial and environmental impacts of not decreasing waste to landfill.

# **Financial Implications:**

More detailed cost analysis and modelling for a FOGO service will need to be undertaken based on these insights into anticipated resident behaviour and attitudes.

A strong focus on funding a comprehensive community education program will need to be factored into the FOGO costings to ensure optimum take-up, efficiency and effectiveness of the FOGO service once in field.

# Strategic Plan:

- Goal 1: Strengthen our Communities
  - S1.3: Provide Public Facilities/Amenities
  - A1.5: Build a resilient community and environmentally sustainable future
- Goal 2: Create 2-3 hubs for our Connector Satellite City
  - S2.1: A focus on Agriculture / Horticulture / Aquaculture (Food)
  - S2.3: Support further development of a 'business & logistics hub'
- Goal 3: Drive Infrastructure Development
  - S3.1: Support 30% Growth Target
  - S3.3: Enabling Infrastructure
- Goal 4: Ensure a Stable Organisation
  - S4.1: Ensure Financial & Risk Sustainability
  - S4.4: Long-term thinking & evidence-based

# **Social Implications:**

Increased education and awareness amongst the community on waste management issues for not just FOGO but waste management overall.

Feel good factor for the community in helping farmers and the environment by 'FOGOing' food and garden waste. A sense of doing your bit both as an individual and a community to help the environment and keep costs down.

# **Environmental or Climate Change Implications:**

Minimising carbon dioxide and methane gas emissions from food and garden waste rotting in landfills and saving space in landfill for items that just can't be recycled.

Creating compost with nutrients that can be returned to the soil. Improving Tasmanian soil in terms of water retention and less need for chemical fertilisers

# **Economic Implications:**

Supporting composting facilities and land based farming operations in Tasmania.

## Other Issues:

Not applicable.

## **Assessment:**

Based on the community survey results, there appears to be strong support and positive sentiment in the community for the introduction of a FOGO service in the Brighton Municipality. The introduction of a FOGO service will require a comprehensive and sustained community education campaign to ensure the effectiveness and success of the program, but it is anticipated that the long term benefits in terms of cost reductions for Council and ratepayers, associated with reducing waste to landfill, will outweigh the initial investment in a FOGO service.

# **Options:**

- 1. As per the recommendations.
- 2. Not proceed with a FOGO service.

#### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

- 1. That Council receives and notes the key findings from the FOGO community survey as outlined in this report.
- 2. That Council authorises the Manager Asset Services to continue to progress the investigation and implementation of a FOGO service in the Brighton Municipality.
- 3. The modelling and costings for the introduction of a FOGO service are to be considered as part of the 2021/22 Budget deliberations.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Owen moved, Cr Murtagh seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/04/2021

# 12.5 BRIGHTON REGIONAL SPORTS CENTRE NAME:

**AUTHOR:** Manager Asset Services

(Mr H Macpherson)

# **Background:**

Works on the new changeroom and building facility at Pontville are progressing well and are currently scheduled to be completed early June 2021. The project has always been referred to as the Brighton Regional Sports Centre. Now that works are nearing completion, the name for the facility needs to be finalised so that signage can be ordered and fixed to the outside of the building. The signage will consist of 300mm high letters that are fixed with a 40mm spacer to the blockwork.

## Consultation:

General Manager, Deputy General, Senior Project Engineer and Manager Asset Services.

# **Risk Implications:**

There are no risk implications other than public perception.

# Financial/Budget Implications:

The cost of the works is already included in the tender price. To change the name again after the works have been completed would have a reasonable cost due to the location of the lettering at height, and will damage the outside of the building with the way the letters are fixed.

# Strategic Plan:

Goal 1: Strengthen our Communities

S1.3: Provide Public Facilities/Amenities

Goal 3: Drive Infrastructure Development

S3.2: Implement Strategic Asset Management Plan (Existing and New)

S3.3: Enabling Infrastructure

# **Social Implications:**

Not applicable.

# **Environmental or Climate Change Implications:**

Not applicable.

# **Economic Implications:**

Not applicable.

#### Other Issues:

Not applicable.

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/04/2021

# **Assessment:**

There are many name options that could be used but at the time of applying for the grant the Brighton Regional Sports Centre seemed the most appropriate. There are no issues with selecting an alternative name, but it needs to be finalised so the lettering can be manufactured in time for the opening.

# **Options:**

- 1. As per the recommendation.
- 2. An alternative name.

# **RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council agree the name of the new facility will be "Brighton Regional Sports Centre" and approve Council officers to finalise this name for fixing to the outside of the new building.

# **DECISION:**

Cr Owen moved, Cr Gray seconded that the new facility be called "Brighton Regional Sports Pavilion"

CARRIED

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour

**Against** 

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 12.6 LGAT - GENERAL MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE - NOMINATION- CR TENNILLE MURTAGH:

**AUTHOR:** Governance Manager

(Mrs J Banks)

# **Background:**

At the March Ordinary Council Meeting a report was submitted on the timetable for the Local Government Association of Tasmania's 2021 General Management Committee election.

Cr Murtagh has requested to be nominated to be on the General Committee for the Southern Electoral District i.e. population less than 20,000.

Any nomination must be accompanied by a copy of the Resolution passed by Council lawfully nominating the candidate for the election.

The General Management Committee is for a two-year term, in accordance with the rules of the Local Government Association of Tasmania (LGAT) adopted at the AGM of the Association on 26<sup>th</sup> June 2020.

Nominations are now invited from LGAT members and must be received by the Tasmanian Electoral Commission by 5pm on Wednesday 21st April 2021.

#### **Consultation:**

General Manager, Governance Manager and Cr Murtagh.

# **Risk Implications:**

Nil

# Financial/Budget Implications:

Nil

# Strategic Plan:

Goal 4:

S4:2 - Be well-governed

S4:3 - A shaping agenda facilitated through strong engagements

# **Social Implications:**

N/A

# **Environmental or Climate Change Implications:**

N/A

# **Economic Implications:**

N/A

# **Options:**

- 1. As per the recommendation.
- 2. That Council not support Cr Murtagh's nomination.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council endorse Cr Murtagh's request to be nominated for the LGAT General Management Committee in the category of Southern Electoral District having a population less than 20,000.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Gray moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

# **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Garlick

Cr Gray

Cr Murtagh

Cr Owen

Cr Whelan

# 13. QUESTIONS ON NOTICE:

There were no Questi	ions on Notice.	
The meeting closed	7.00pm	
Confirmed:		
Committee.	(Mayor)	
	( 1-1-1)	
Date:	18 <sup>th</sup> May 2021	