



Brighton Council

**MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
OF THE BRIGHTON COUNCIL HELD IN THE
COUNCIL CHAMBERS, COUNCIL OFFICES, OLD BEACH
AT 5.30 P.M. ON TUESDAY,
16th FEBRUARY 2021**

PRESENT: Cr Foster (Mayor); Cr Curran (Deputy Mayor); Cr Garlick;
Cr Geard; Cr Gray; Cr Jeffries; Cr Owen and Cr Whelan.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr J Dryburgh (General Manager); Mr G Davoren (Deputy
General Manager); Mrs J Banks (Governance Manager); Mr
D Allingham (Manager Development Services) and Mr H
Macpherson (Municipal Engineer).

1. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF COUNTRY:

2. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES:

**2.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
OF 19th JANUARY 2021:**

*Cr Curran moved, Cr Whelan seconded that the Minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting
of 19th January 2021, be confirmed.*

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

2.2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING OF 9th FEBRUARY 2021:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the Minutes of the Finance Committee meeting of 9th February 2021, be confirmed.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

2.3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AUTHORITY MEETING OF 9th FEBRUARY 2021:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Jeffries seconded that the Minutes of the Planning Authority meeting of 9th February 2021, be confirmed.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

2.4 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ENVIRONMENT AND HERITAGE COMMITTEE MEETING OF 9th FEBRUARY 2021:

Cr Curran moved, Cr Whelan seconded that the Minutes of the Environment & Heritage Committee meeting of 9th February 2021, be confirmed.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	

Cr Owen
Cr Whelan

3. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE:

Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Whelan seconded that Cr Murtagh be granted leave of absence.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

4. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND DEPUTATIONS:

There was no requirement for public question time.

5. DECLARATION OF INTEREST:

In accordance with Part 5, Section 48 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have an interest in any item on the agenda; and

Part 2 Regulation 8 (7) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have, a pecuniary interest in any item on the agenda.

Accordingly, Councillors are requested to advise of any interest they may have in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which the Council has resolved to deal with, in accordance with Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

There were no declarations of interest.

Cr Curran moved, Cr Whelan seconded that Item 12.2 be brought forward and discussed for the benefit of the members in the gallery.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	

Cr Garlick
Cr Geard
Cr Gray
Cr Jeffries
Cr Owen
Cr Whelan

For the purpose of these Minutes items will remain in chronological order.

6. REPORTS FROM COUNCILLORS:

6.1 MAYOR'S COMMUNICATIONS:

Mayor Tony Foster provided a verbal update at the meeting.

DECISION:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Geard seconded that the report be received.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

6.2 REPORTS FROM COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES WITH OTHER ORGANISATIONS:

DECISION:

Cr Gray advised that he attended a recent briefing from the Chairman of Taswater re Taswater's performance.

Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Whelan seconded that the report be received.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	

Cr Jeffries
Cr Owen
Cr Whelan

6.3 CORRESPONDENCE FROM SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS ASSOCIATION (STCA), LGAT, TASWATER AND JOINT AUTHORITIES:

Correspondence and reports from the STCA, LGAT, TasWater and Joint Authorities.

6.3.1 Letter from TasWater's Chairman regarding TasWater's Interim Dividend Announcement (1 February 2021).

6.3.2 Letter from Minister for Planning - STRULS Amendment and Roadmap (5 February 2021).

7. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS:

In accordance with the requirements of Section 8(2)(c) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, it was reported that there were no workshops held since the last Council Meeting.

8. NOTICES OF MOTION:

8.1 MOTION TO OVERTURN DECISION – DOG MANAGEMENT POLICY AND INTENTION TO DECLARE AREAS:

1. Cr Curran has moved a motion to overturn the following decision made at the January Ordinary Council Meeting held on 19th January 2021, in relation to Item 12.2 – Dog Management Policy and declared areas.

Cr Owen moved, Cr Whelan seconded that the recommendation be adopted excluding Childs Drive Park, Old Beach being an on-lead exercise area i.e. prohibited.

CARRIED

2. Cr Curran moves that an amended draft Dog Management Policy and the proposed amendment to the dog management areas in Appendix B of the draft policy (to include Childs Drive Park, Old Beach as a prohibited area to dogs at all times, i.e. declared according to *Dog Control Act 2000*, Part 3 – Control of Dogs, Division 2) are endorsed and the community engagement process to recommence (see pages). After community consultation the Policy and amended declared areas are to be resubmitted to Council for adoption.

Section 18(3) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015* requires the general manager to report whether the proposed motion to overturn a decision of the Council, or that will result in the overturning of a decision of the council, wholly or partly has been wholly or substantially carried out.

General Manager’s report:

The decision from the January Ordinary Council meeting had not been partially or wholly carried out. Once Council officers realised that the decision made at the Ordinary Council Meeting would likely make the Dog Management Policy and declared areas invalid, notification was sent to Councillors on the 20th of January 2021. The Draft Dog Management Policy and the amended Intention to Declare areas, that includes Childs Drive Park, Old Beach as a prohibited area to dogs at all times, is to be made available for public comment i.e. Stage 2 of the process.

DECISION:

Cr Curran moved, Cr Geard seconded that an amended draft Dog Management Policy and the proposed amendment to the dog management areas in Appendix B of the draft policy (to include Childs Drive Park, Old Beach as a prohibited area to dogs at all times, i.e. declared according to Dog Control Act 2000, Part 3 – Control of Dogs, Division 2) are endorsed and the community engagement process to recommence. After community consultation the Policy and amended declared areas are to be resubmitted to Council for adoption.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

9. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA:

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8(6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Council, by absolute majority may approve the consideration of a matter not appearing on the agenda, where the General Manager has reported:

- (a) the reason it was not possible to include the matter on the agenda, and
- (b) that the matter is urgent, and
- (c) that advice has been provided under Section 65 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council resolve by absolute majority to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

DECISION:

The General Manager advised that there were no supplementary agenda items

10. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES:

10.1 FINANCE COMMITTEE MEETING – 9TH FEBRUARY 2021:

The recommendations of the Finance Committee Meeting of 9th February 2021 were submitted to Council for adoption.

DECISION:

Cr Whelan moved, Cr Curran seconded that the recommendations of the Finance Committee meeting of 9th February 2021, be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

10.2 ENVIRONMENT & HERITAGE COMMITTEE MEETING – 9TH FEBRUARY 2021:

The recommendations of the Environment and Heritage Committee Meeting of 9th February 2021 were submitted to Council for adoption.

DECISION:

Cr Geard moved, Cr Jeffries seconded that the recommendation of the Environment & Heritage Committee meeting of 9th February 2021 be confirmed.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

11. COUNCIL ACTING AS PLANNING AUTHORITY:

In accordance with the provisions of Part 2 Regulation 25 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the intention of the Council to act as a Planning Authority pursuant to the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* is to be noted. In accordance with Regulation 25, the Council will act as a planning authority in respect to those matters appearing under Item 11 on this agenda, inclusive of any supplementary items.

There were no planning reports for the February Ordinary Council Meeting.

12. REPORTS FROM OFFICERS:

12.1 PUBLIC ART STRATEGY:

AUTHOR: Manager Development Services
(Mr D Allingham)

Background:

Brighton Council’s Public Art Strategy was received by the Environment and Heritage Committee on 9 February 2021. The strategy is presented here on the Ordinary Council Meeting agenda for formal endorsement by Council.

Public art plays a critical role in the creation of vibrant and meaningful public spaces and the development of diverse, tolerant, and proud communities. Council has a significant role to play in the furthering of artistic practice in the municipality.

Council has engaged Emma Pike (Curator at MONA) to prepare a Public Art Strategy for Brighton Council (See Attachment).

Consultation:

General Manager, Manager Development Services, Executive Officer, Planning Officer.

Risk Implications:

Nil

Financial/Budget Implications:

The Public Art Strategy provides funding options which may have financial implications depending on the options selected (e.g. ongoing budget allocation, percentage of capital works budget).

Strategic Plan:

The Public Art Strategy is consistent with the following:

S1.1: Understand/Improve Health and Wellbeing

S1.2: Create Housing/Employment/Play/Education (Liveability)

S1.3: Provide Public Facilities/Amenities

S1.4: Support Connected Communities

S1.5: Build a resilient community and environmentally sustainable future

S2.2: Education/Capability Build

Social Implications:

Public Art plays an important role in a community feeling culturally prosperous and connected to place.

Environmental or Climate Change Implications:

Nil

Economic Implications:

Public art often makes a place more attractive which in turn facilitates economic growth.

Other Issues:

Nil

Assessment:

Public Art is currently delivered on an ad-hoc basis with little community engagement. The Public Art Strategy provides a clear framework to assist Council to deliver public art projects in partnership with the community.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
2. Endorse the Public Art Strategy with amendments.
3. Other.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council endorses the Public Art Strategy – February 2021.

DECISION:

Cr Curran moved, Cr Whelan seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

12.2 DONATION TEA TREE COMMUNITY ASSOCIATION:

AUTHOR: Deputy General Manager
(Mr G Davoren)

Background:

Please note: This item was deferred from the Finance Committee meeting on 9 February 2021 to be dealt with in the February Ordinary Council Meeting.

The Tea Tree Community Association have again written to Council seeking support to address structural problems associated with the 109 plus year old Tea Tree Hall.

The Tea Tree Community Association is an incorporated not for profit community entity.

In early March 2020, it was discovered that the original section of the Tea Tree Community Hall had moved on its foundations resulting in the southern wall leaning out 200mm. The building is now deemed unsafe, which has compounded the problem as their revenue raising capacity has been compromised.

Council recently made a \$1,021 contribution to the Tea Tree Community Association as a contribution to offset building and planning fees retained by Brighton Council associated with their recent building development. Council also provided an additional amount of \$7,450 as a contribution towards engineering, design and plans, building surveyor and council fees associated with preparations for the repair of the Tea Tree Hall.

Repair costs have now been established to be in the vicinity of \$215,000.

The Tea Tree Community Association is now seeking a commitment from Council for an amount of \$90,000 for repairs from next year's budget but only if they are successful in achieving a grant of \$100,000 from the Federal Government. The committee intend to commit \$25,000 in cash and in-kind themselves towards the repairs.

Consultation:

Nil.

Risk Implications:

Nil.

Financial/Budget Implications:

The amount of \$90,000 needs to be committed now to meet the grant requirements but would be identified in the 2021/22 budget.

Strategic Plan:

Relates to our Goal 1 to Strengthen our Communities.

Social Implications:

Council has a social responsibility to support our community.

Environmental or Climate Change Implications:

Not applicable.

Economic Implications:

Nil

Issues:

Nil

Assessment:

The Tea Tree Community Association has a good track record of contributing to the health and wellbeing of our community. The Tea Tree Community Association has previously supported events at the Tea Tree Community Hall including:

- Monthly community social Friday nights
- Tea Tree 8 Ball Club
- Weekly South East Nursing
- Commercial kitchen hire
- Weekly yoga
- Monthly euchre tournaments
- Frequent children's birthday parties
- Annual long table dinner
- Electoral venue
- Business meeting room
- Wedding functions

The Association have lost their opportunity to raise income in several areas and can no longer hold some community events previously offered. The hall has heritage value with the community. It is a meeting place and clearly locally important. The association are an active group and typically self-funded except they do need support relating to the building infrastructure. If the Council owned the building, then it would be responsible for all capital expenditure.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
2. Amend the amount of support offered.
3. Lend the \$90,000 amount sought through an interest free loan. The Deputy General Manager to negotiate directly with the Tea Tree Community. Associated repayment terms up to a maximum of 15 years.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council commit to a donation of \$90,000 from the 2021/22 budget only on the basis that the Tea Tree Hall Association is successful in obtaining a grant of \$100,000 as well as contributing in-cash and in-kind support up to an amount of \$25,000 themselves.

DECISION:

Cr Curran moved, Cr Geard seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

12.3 SPORTING GROUNDS – USAGE ARRANGEMENTS POLICY UPDATE:

AUTHOR: Council Services Officer
(Ms C Harper)

Background:

Council’s Sporting Ground Usage Arrangements policy has not been reviewed or updated for several years. There have been some requests from regular users for minor changes to the policy to reflect their current needs in regard to timing of preseason access for training and practice matches.

Currently Cricket Tas and the Brighton Cricket Club enjoy the benefit of Council making Ferguson Oval available early to them for preseason activities. The Brighton Football Club have requested that they have early access to Thompson Oval from 1 March for their preseason activities rather than as per the policy of 1 April.

Consultation:

Darren Clark and Phil Dodge (Brighton Football Club), Tom Barwick (Cricket Tas), Heath Macpherson (Asset Services Manager), Scott Percey & Todd Struthers (Grounds Maintenance) and Cathy Harper (Council Services Officer)

Risk Implications:

Nil.

Financial/Budget Implications:

Nil.

Strategic Plan:

S1.3 Provide Public facilities/amenities.

Social Implications:

Demonstrates Council’s ongoing support to meeting the needs of local sporting clubs and the benefit that their activity brings to residents.

Assessment:

The significant update to this policy is to provide earlier access to Thompson Oval for the Brighton Football Club. Adjusting the policy will reflect their current needs and demonstrates Council’s equitable approach to managing grounds usage and strong support of local sports clubs. This change would mean that cricket would not have access to Thompson Oval from mid-February.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
2. Council not adopt updated policy.

RECOMMENDATION:

Council adopts the updated Sporting Grounds – Usage Arrangements Policy 8.1 V3.

DECISION:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Geard seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	

Cr Garlick
Cr Geard
Cr Gray
Cr Jeffries
Cr Owen
Cr Whelan

12.4 STORMWATER QUALITY CONTROL:

AUTHOR: Project Engineer
(Mrs A Wilson)

Background:

The Brighton Interim Planning Scheme required developers to treat the quality of stormwater via clause E7.7.1. It will shortly be replaced by the Tasmanian Planning Scheme - Brighton which does not contain stormwater quality control targets but does allow for conditions to be imposed regarding stormwater quality controls.

Council currently enforces water quality control targets as imposed under the Interim Planning Scheme, when it is impractical to meet these targets on site Council utilises the existing Interim Water Sensitive Urban Design Contribution Policy to allow developers, where appropriate, to pay Council in lieu of installing the required treatment on site. The Stormwater Quality Control Contributions Policy updates the Interim Policy so the same arrangements can be in place when Brighton adopts the Tasmanian Planning Scheme.

A Tasmanian Stormwater Policy for New Development is currently in draft form and has informed this policy.

Major changes from the Interim Policy include:

- The contribution amount per lot and additional dwelling is unchanged
- The policy defines water quality targets that were previously defined by the Interim Planning Scheme
- The policy will apply to all development and subdivision in urban area - the interim contribution policy applied to residential areas only.
- The size of impervious area it applies to has changed from 600m² to 500m²
- The policy is linked to the draft Tasmanian Stormwater Policy for New Development

Consultation:

Anna Wilson (Project Engineer); Heath Macpherson (Asset Services Manager); Leigh Wighton (Senior Technical Officer); David Allingham (Manager Development Services); Hannah Atkins (Civil Engineer) Clarence City Council and Derwent Estuary Program

Risk Implications:

Risk that Council will not use the received funds for the required outcome resulting in challenges from developers and distrust amongst the community. To address this risk Council will maintain a register of WSUD contributions received. Council will also maintain a stormwater quality works program to ensure that all contributions are being spent or set aside to meet WSUD outcomes.

There is a risk that the proposed approach may be challenged as the process has not been tested or challenged under the planning scheme. To address this risk the policy is a voluntary option only. If it is appealed the option is to revert to requiring that WSUD principles be met as part of the development.

There is a risk that the water quality requirements will be challenged. These requirements are supported by the State Stormwater Strategy 2010, the Tasmanian State Policy on Water Quality Management 1997 and the draft Tasmanian Stormwater Policy for New Development

Council officers consider the risk and associated consequences to be low.

Financial/Budget Implications:

Council will receive \$2000 (current rate) per dwelling or per lot from appropriate residential developments. Since the interim contribution scheme was implemented in October 2019 Council has received \$108 000 in contributions. Whilst it is unknown at this stage how often this policy will be picked up by developers it is likely that there will be regular income to Council to be used to develop and maintain valuable WSUD infrastructure.

Council must ensure these funds are spent on WSUD works within urban areas.

Council will become responsible for the maintenance of assets that would otherwise have been privately managed. Council will have control over these costs by being able to install appropriate and effective treatment systems. Maintenance costs will be offset by a reduction in compliance costs. If private systems are installed Council will be required to run inspections and testing on these systems to ensure they are being maintained effectively. These implications are the same as the existing arrangement under the Interim Contribution Scheme.

Strategic Plan:

This policy, combined with associated investment in effective water sensitive urban design supports strategies S1.3 - Provide public facilities and S1.5 - Build a resilient community and environmentally sustainable future - by providing Council with additional funding to construct effective, meaningful stormwater treatment infrastructure. This infrastructure can protect assets important to the Brighton community such as the Jordan River and the Derwent and associated waterfront landscapes and environments. It can also provide landscape solutions that are attractive and resilient and improve water quality as well as biodiversity and landscape functions.

Social Implications:

Implementing this policy will reduce the social impact of having dispersed infrastructure that is privately owned by small strata groups that do not have the capacity to provide effective maintenance. It will ensure that investment going into WSUD infrastructure is effectively utilised and not wasted. This will benefit social spaces within the municipality and benefit developers by ensuring that, when practical, they can transfer their stormwater investment into effective outcomes.

Environmental or Climate Change Implications:

Environmentally this policy will enable Council to use funds currently earmarked for improving the environment into more effective outcomes that will be maintained properly to provide environmental benefits into the future. It will improve the quality of stormwater, increase litter capture, increase biodiversity and protect our receiving water bodies from pollution.

From a climate change perspective allowing Council to invest in WSUD infrastructure that is centralised rather than decentralised allow Council to invest in more green infrastructure which will capture carbon and increase environmental outcomes. Currently most of the infrastructure installed by developers are commercial systems that are closed box concrete systems. Cement is a primary producer of carbon dioxide so swapping concrete structures with plant based systems is a responsible climate change decision.

Economic Implications:

This policy will provide economic benefits to Council, developers, strata titles and ratepayers.

Council will receive funding we can use to install effective and attractive stormwater treatment that increases the value of our public spaces. Developers will ensure that the funds they are spending on WSUD are used on effective treatment rather than designing and installing inefficient and forgotten about WSUD infrastructure. They may also benefit from being able to utilise the space that on site WSUD would have otherwise occupied.

Note that this is not a charge on developers. Developers are required to meet the planning scheme requirements and they are welcome to do so.

Strata titles will not have the ongoing responsibility to maintain something they know nothing about and hold no personal value towards.

Rate payers will benefit by funds being used to effectively protect receiving environments, collect litter and pollutants more effectively and increase the value of our public spaces.

Other Issues:

This policy is a voluntary policy only. Developers will not be required to contribute to WSUD however they are required to meet the planning condition by either installing appropriate WSUD on site or contributing.

Council can refuse an application to contribute in lieu of meeting the requirements on site if Council deems that it is more appropriate for the site and surrounding area to have treatment in the developers' site.

The contribution amount has been calculated by averaging the amounts that have been requested to be paid on existing developments. These have been based on the cost of installing WSUD as designed by consultants to meet the requirements on site.

The proposed amount at present is \$2000 and the same as is currently imposed under the Interim Water Sensitive Urban Design Contribution Scheme. It was based on several developments that had costed the works required to meet the scheme and then asked to contribute the worth of the works to Council. These requests had been averaged and the resultant amount per lot/ dwelling was \$2042. This has been rounded to \$2000 for ease of use. The amount is proposed to be set in Councils Fees and Charges schedule and is set per lot or additional dwelling.

Assessment:

This policy clarifies options for developers and will produce improved outcomes for the environment. Having this interim policy will allow developers to meet the planning scheme.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
 2. Do not meet the recommendation.
-

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council support and implement the proposed attached Stormwater Quality Control Contribution Policy; Tasmania Planning Scheme - Brighton.

DECISION:

Cr Curran moved, Cr Owen seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Geard	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Whelan	

13. QUESTIONS ON NOTICE:

There were no 'Questions on Notice' for the February Ordinary Council Meeting.

The meeting closed 6.15pm

Confirmed:

(Mayor)

Date:

16th March 2021