

# MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING OF THE BRIGHTON COUNCIL HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES OLD BEACH AT 5.30 P.M. ON TUESDAY, 20<sup>th</sup> MARCH 2018

**PRESENT**: Cr Foster (Mayor); Cr Curran (Deputy Mayor); Cr Garlick;

Cr Geard; Cr Gray; Cr Higgins; Cr Jeffries; Cr Owen and Cr

Williams.

**IN ATTENDANCE**: Mr R Sanderson (General Manager); Mr G Davoren

(Deputy General Manager); Mr H Macpherson (Municipal Engineer); Mr J Dryburgh (Manager Development

Services) and Mrs J Banks (Governance Manager).

#### 1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES:

1.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING OF 20<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2018.

Cr Geard moved, Cr Higgins seconded that the Minutes of the Ordinary Council meeting of 20<sup>th</sup> February 2018 be confirmed.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

**Ordinary Council Meeting** 20/3/18

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE FINANCE COMMITTEE 1.2 MEETING OF 13th MARCH 2018.

Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the Minutes of the Finance Committee meeting of 13<sup>th</sup> March 2018 be confirmed.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour **Against** Cr Curran Cr Foster Cr Garlick Cr Geard Cr Gray Cr Higgins Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen Cr Williams

1.3 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AUTHORITY MEETING OF 13TH MARCH 2018.

Cr Gray moved, Cr Higgins seconded that the Minutes of the Planning Authority meeting of 13th March 2018 be confirmed.

CARRIED

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour **Against** Cr Curran Cr Foster Cr Garlick Cr Geard Cr Gray Cr Higgins Cr Jeffries Cr Owen

#### APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE: 2.

All members were present.

#### 3. **PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND DEPUTATIONS:**

Cr Williams

Bridgewater PCYC gave a presentation to Council on the services they provide to the community.

**Ordinary Council Meeting** 20/3/18

#### 4. **DECLARATION OF INTEREST:**

In accordance with Part 5, Section 48 of the Local Government Act 1993, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have an interest in any item on the agenda; and

Part 2 Regulation 8 (7) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have, a pecuniary interest in any item on the agenda.

Accordingly, Councillors are requested to advise of any interest they may have in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which the Council has resolved to deal with, in accordance with Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

There were no declarations of interest.

#### 5. REPORTS FROM COUNCILLORS:

#### 5.1 **MAYOR'S COMMUNICATIONS:**

**AUTHOR:** Mayor

Mar

Mar

13

16

(Cr T Foster)

Planning Authority Meeting

Citizenship Ceremony at Council

The Mayor's communications were as follows: -				
Feb	22	Meeting with General Manager		
Feb	23	State Election – Leaders Forum at Brighton Civic Centre		
Mar	01	Meeting with GM, Manager Governance, Scott Percy and staff member		
Mar	01	Scott Percy and I met with resident of Cleary Place, Brighton		
Mar	02	ABC Friday Forum with Leon Compton		
Mar	06	GM and I met with Mr John Klug		
Mar	07	TasWater Board Selection Committee interviews		
Mar	08	TasWater Board Selection Committee interviews		
Mar	09	Noeline and I attended the State Funeral Service in an official capacity for Vanessa Goodwin		
Mar	13	Finance Committee Meeting		

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/3/18

Mar 20 Ordinary Council Meeting

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Mayor's communications be received.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Owen moved, Cr Curran seconded that the report be received.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

Cr Williams

## 5.2 REPORTS FROM COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES WITH OTHER ORGANISATIONS:

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Geard and Cathy Harper met with Tas QTR Horse association recently in relation to their lease at Pontville Park.

Cr Gray and the Municipal Engineer attended a Waste Management meeting at STCA.

Cr Curran advised she attended an International Women's Day breakfast run by LGAT.

*Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Williams seconded that the reports be received.* 

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

## 5.3 CORRESPONDENCE FROM SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS ASSOCIATION (STCA), LGAT, TASWATER AND JOINT AUTHORITIES:

Correspondence and reports from the STCA, LGAT, TasWater and Joint Authorities.

If any Councillor wishes to view documents received contact should either be made with the Governance Manager or General Manager.

#### 6. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS:

In accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, it was reported that a Planning scheme workshop was held on Tuesday 20th February 2018, 4.30pm, all Councillors were in attendance.

#### 7. NOTICE OF MOTION:

*There were no notices of motion.* 

## 8. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA:

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8(6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Council, by absolute majority may approve the consideration of a matter not appearing on the agenda, where the General Manager has reported:

- (a) the reason it was not possible to include the matter on the agenda, and
- (b) that the matter is urgent, and
- (c) that advice has been provided under Section 65 of the *Local Government Act* 1993.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the Council resolve by absolute majority to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

#### **DECISION:**

The General Manager advised there were no supplementary agenda items

#### 9. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES:

#### 9.1 FINANCE COMMITTEE - 13/3/18:

The recommendations of the Finance Committee Meeting of 13<sup>th</sup> March 2018, were submitted to Council for adoption.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Garlick moved, Cr Jeffries seconded that the recommendations be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

<b>VOTING RECORD</b>				
In favour	Against			
Cr Curran				
Cr Foster				
Cr Garlick				
Cr Geard				
Cr Gray				
Cr Higgins				
Cr Jeffries				
Cr Owen				
Cr Williams				

#### 10. COUNCIL ACTING AS PLANNING AUTHORITY:

In accordance with the provisions of Part 2 Regulation 25 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the intention of the Council to act as a Planning Authority pursuant to the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act* 1993 is to be noted. In accordance with Regulation 25, the Council will act as a planning authority in respect to those matters appearing under Item 10 on this agenda, inclusive of any supplementary items.

There were no planning items on this agenda.

#### 11. REPORTS FROM OFFICERS:

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/3/18

### 11.1 LOCAL GOVERNMENT SHARED SERVICES MONTHLY REPORTS:

**AUTHOR:** Corporate Consultant

(Mrs K Hossack)

#### **Background:**

When the Local Government Shared Services (LGSS) was formalised, there was an undertaking that monthly reports would be provided to member Councils. There are now fourteen (14) Councils that have joined to date.

One report is for the overall performance of the shared service agreement which is provided to all member Councils. The other report is Council specific for each member Council that is provided only to that individual Council. The second attachment is for Brighton Council's performance for the previous months.

#### Consultation:

General Manager

#### **Risk Implications:**

Nil

#### **Financial Implications:**

See attached reports for financial information about the Local Government Shared Services and Brighton Council.

#### Other Issues:

These reports provide detailed information to assist in dealing with the amalgamation program and the financial sustainability of the shared services and individual Councils.

#### Assessment:

The reports provide updates of proposed actions and collaborations which will build the overall capability and outputs of the group.

#### **Options:**

- 1. Adopt the recommendation
- 2. Do nothing

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the reports be received.

#### **DECISION:**

*Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Gray seconded that the report be received.* 

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

Cr Williams

## 11.2 BRIDGEWATER/GAGEBROOK CLEAN UP GROUP LANDCARE MEMBERSHIP AND INSURANCE FUNDING:

**AUTHOR:** Council Services Officer

(Ms C Harper)

#### **Background**

The Bridgewater/Gagebrook Clean up Group have operated in the area as volunteers for the past couple of years, cleaning up litter and promoting litter education. To enable them to have appropriate insurance coverage and support in pursuing funding opportunities they need to become members of Landcare Tasmania. Landcare Tasmania has contacted Council on behalf of the group, seeking financial assistance to pay their membership fee of \$30.00 and insurance coverage of \$150.00.

#### Consultation

Peter Stronach (Landcare Tasmania), Angela Knight (Bridgewater/Gagebrook Cleanup Group), Ron Sanderson (General Manager), Cathy Harper (Council Services Officer).

#### **Financial Implications**

The donations budget for this financial year has capacity to cover this request.

#### **Assessment**

This volunteer group has been active in the area for a couple of years and would gain many benefits and support by joining Landcare Tasmania as a member. Membership renewal before the end of March would enable the group to apply for the Landcare Assistance Program from the State Government. They have persistently worked on educating the broader community on litter reduction and encouraged volunteer participation in its removal.

#### **Options**

1. As per the recommendation.

Ordinary Council Meeting 20/3/18

2. Council not approve funding as requested.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council approves funding to cover the membership and insurance fee (2017/18) for the Bridgewater/Gagebrook Clean-Up Group to join Landcare Tasmania, cost of \$180.00 in total.

#### **DECISION:**

*Cr Geard moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the recommendation be adopted.* 

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

Cr Williams

# 11.3 SUPPORT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A SPORT & WELLBEING COMMUNITY CLUB TO PRIMARILY SERVE THE SUBURBS OF BRIDGEWATER, GAGEBROOK & HERDSMANS COVE:

**AUTHOR:** Chief Operations Officer

(Mr J Dryburgh)

#### **Background**

Council has been approached by Grassroots Community Development Pty Ltd (GCD) to support their proposal to establish a 'sport and wellbeing community club' to primarily serve the communities of Bridgewater, Herdsmans Cove and Gagebrook. See attached Business Plan for a full summary.

In effect, the proposal aims to increase participation in a range of sporting activities in the area; use sport as a vehicle for improving social, health and education outcomes; and to improve self-esteem, identity and pride within the three suburbs.

Council support (both financial and in-kind in various ways) is seen as critical by GCD. In terms of securing funding from other tiers of government and from the private sector, being able to demonstrate the commitment and financial support of council is valuable.

Participation levels are lower in these three suburbs than state averages, and health and education outcomes are also generally low. Various ideas and projects have come and gone over the years but nothing has really stuck in the long term.

Core to the concept is having one club that can support people into a variety of sports rather than trying to establish small clubs across each sport. Clearly this is more efficient, but part of the idea is to create a strong positive identity for the area as well.

GCD are seeking \$125,000 over 3 years from Council (though the financials are structured over a 5-year pilot period with Council not contributing in the final 2 years). It is suggested that the club would cost approximately \$100,000 per year to run, which is effectively wages for GCD and a local trainee with the intention that this trainee eventually takes over as manager, as well as set up, legal and administration matters (see Page 7 of the attached business plan). Additional funds for events, activities, equipment, transport and the like will need to be raised by the club.

It is proposed that the club be governed as an incorporated body with a board responsible for governance. It is envisaged the board would include representation from council, State Government, education, health and community.

The Business Plan also sets out a basic timeline with proposed KPIs and achievements to be met.

#### Consultation

Consultation has occurred amongst the Senior Management Team. GCD also presented to Council in February.

#### **Risk Implications**

For Council there is reputational and financial risk, and of course the risk of letting the community down if the project is a failure.

There are no guarantees the project will be a success, but the aims are desirable. These risks can be minimised by staging financial contributions based on agreed outcomes, ensuring appropriate controls and governance arrangements are enshrined and by managing council's involvement from a PR perspective.

#### **Financial Implications**

The GCD Business Plan proposes that council contribute \$50,000 in years one and two, followed by \$25,000 in year three. In years four and five it is expected that other funders will then be contributing the bulk of the \$100,000 per year proposed as the basic annual cost. GCD are also keen to gain a council commitment as soon as possible. However, this is an unbudgeted prospect for Council.

Council need not comply with the proposed funding model. Council could for example propose \$25,000 seed funding to enable the project to begin with further funding flagged, but dependent on the securing of other funding partners and achievement of agreed milestones.

Should support for the project be agreed, the issue of being an unbudgeted project could be addressed two ways: firstly, by deferring funding until 1st July; or secondly \$12,500 could be taken from available money in the existing Community Development budget item to allow the project to begin from 1st April to 1st July.

#### **Summary**

The proposal seeks a substantial amount of money from Council for a project that is speculative in nature. Council has no real security that any significant outcomes will be achieved or that they will get value for money. This is not necessarily a good reason not to proceed because if the project does succeed in its ambitions, then Council's contribution would be a sound investment.

It is suggested then, that council take a supportive but cautious approach. Council can do this by structuring its support in a manner that minimises risk, for example: by staging financial contributions based on agreed outcomes; by ensuring Council is represented on the board; by ensuring that the board structure and/or constitution ensures the appropriate powers are in place for the board to responsibly manage or even terminate the project; and by tying Council's financial contribution to contributions from other bodies and institutions, and to attempting to secure grant funding, such as from the Tasmanian Community Fund.

There is a potential funding round coming up later in the year with the Tasmanian Community Fund that will likely be relevant to this proposal. GCD should pursue this actively and council should provide assistance and support in the grant application process.

#### **Potential Approaches**

It is difficult to strongly recommend one approach or another with a proposal such as this as it partly comes down to council's appetite for risk – that is, the project could be a great success or an abject failure. Council would be 'taking a punt' in the hope of a good outcome. As such, some options are presented below in order to try to assist council to make a considered decision.

#### Option 1:

That Council does not support contributing financially to the proposal.

#### Option 2:

Write to GCD explaining that Council is supportive of the concept and will commit \$50,000 funding for Year One from 1st July subject to the remainder being secured from other funders. Years 2 and 3 funding will also be subject to the securing of the other funding by GDC and satisfactory progress against agreed milestones.

#### **Option 3:**

That Council offers seed funding of \$25,000 to allow the project 6 months to get established and to chase other funding, with a further \$25,000 dependent on a 6-month progress report on agreed outcomes and additional funding secured. \$12,500 from available existing funds relevant to the project could be made available to enable work to start immediately.

#### Option 4:

Agree to fund the proposed \$50,000 in year one (with \$12,500 from available existing funds relevant to the project to enable work to start immediately). Funding for Year 2 and beyond to be determined based on progress, agreed outcomes and funding commitments from elsewhere.

In any case, if Council does decide to support the project financially the following conditions are recommended:-

- Funding is tied to progress reports based on agreed milestones/outcomes.
- Council is represented on the Board.
- Council's representative on the Board is involved in the preparation of the Club's constitution.
- The Board has the ultimate power for approval or otherwise of payments (including to staff) and can recruit or remove staff/contractors.
- Demonstration that other funding is being actively sought.
- Demonstration that a funding grant from the Tasmanian Community Fund is being actively sought for the project.

#### **Options**

- 1. As per the recommendation.
- 2. Other.

#### RECOMMENDATION:

That Council write to GCD explaining that council is supportive of the concept and will commit \$50,000 funding for Year One from 1<sup>st</sup> July subject to the remainder being secured from other funders, and in line with the abovementioned governance conditions. Years 2 and 3 funding will also be subject to the securing of the other funding by GDC and satisfactory progress against agreed milestones.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Geard moved, Cr Higgins seconded that Council does not support contributing financially to the proposal.

VOTING RECORD

**CARRIED** 

## In favour Against Cr Curran Cr Foster Cr Garlick Cr Gray

Cr Owen

Cr Higgins

Cr Geard

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen Cr Williams

#### 11.4 MONTHLY PLANNING UPDATE:

**AUTHORS:** Chief Operations Officer

(Mr J Dryburgh)

#### **Background:**

This report is intended to provide a monthly summary of planning matters for Council.

#### **Discussion**

#### Purchase and Receipt of Land:

None.

#### **Projects:**

Greening Brighton Strategy: Trees have been ordered for the winter planting
with a focus on Hurst St and replacing damaged or stolen trees along the East
Derwent Highway.

Trees have already been ordered to be grown at the School Farm in a newly constructed greenhouse with the intention of planting these trees in 2019 when they have matured.

- <u>Bridgewater Parkland Master Plan:</u> Earthworks have started on the site and the specialized landscaping is currently out to tender. Completion is expected July 2018.
- <u>Bridgewater Gagebrook Herdsmans Cove pathway and shelters:</u> A successful Community Infrastructure Grant (State) for \$261,000 towards a \$650,000 project has been confirmed. Works are expected to start in April.
  - Council has engaged Ross Brewin to design the shelters and work with the BTTC to incorporate some elements of them into the building and construction courses they offer.
- <u>Cities Power Partnership (CPP)</u>: Council have been formally accepted into the CPP and are now required to identify 5 items in the Powers Partners Pledge. The five pledge items are non-binding and have no timeframe, but provide the strategic impetus for Council to pursue the associated actions:
  - 1. Public lighting can use a large proportion of a city's energy budget roll out energy efficient lighting (particularly street lighting) across the municipality.

ACTION: Install LED lights through the Accelerated Local Government Capital Program State-wide.

- 2. Install renewable energy (solar PV and battery storage) on council buildings for example childcare facilities, libraries, street lighting, recreation centres, sporting grounds, and council offices.
  - ACTION: Install solar on Civic Centre and for Bridgewater Parkland lighting.
- 3. Use strategic and statutory planning processes to promote renewable energy- both at the residential, commercial and larger scale.
  - ACTION: Prepare a standard for minimum energy efficiency standards (e.g. 8 star) for units, commercial and industrial developments
- 4. Providing for adequate cycle lanes (both space and connectivity) in road design and supporting cyclists through providing parking, and end-of-ride facilities (covered, secure bike storage, showers, bicycle maintenance and incentives).
  - ACTION: Retrofit existing roads with bike-lanes with a focus on connecting key roads in Brighton to the new Brighton Road streetscape.
- 5. Support community energy projects (with location and planning support) so that residents (such as renters) can band together and invest in community renewable energy projects.

ACTION: Actively look for opportunities to support community energy projects within the municipality and build knowledge from other CPP Councils that succeeded in this area.

#### **Development Applications and Leases on Council-Owned Land:**

Nothing new.

#### **Council Land Subdivisions and Sales:**

 Councillors endorsed a process and conditions associated with potentially transferring up to four parcels of council-owned land to Centacare Evolve to facilitate strategic residential and community development. Planning work on progressing this endorse to a formal agreement and implementation is underway.

The rezoning of the strip of land off Taylor Crescent is with the Tasmanian Planning Commission for a decision.

Manager DS is in the process of drafting separate agreements for each parcel of land for council's consideration.

#### **Other Strategic Matters:**

• Brighton Interim Planning Scheme & Amendments:

**Tivoli Green Specific Area Plan** – Hearing has been adjourned until April, but now has strong support from Housing Tasmania for small lot housing (300m2 lots).

**149 William St removal of Attenuation Area –** Waiting on hearing date.

**Taylor Crescent strip -** Waiting on hearing date.

• **State Planning Scheme:** The State-wide Planning Provisions (SPP) have now been released.

Planning staff have begun to draft the Local Planning Schedules (LPS), particularly the relative simple conversions of existing Particular Purpose Zones and Specific Area Plans.

Council staff met with the Assessment Panel for a Preliminary briefing and no major issues were identified, except the continuation of half hectare lots in the Rural Living Zone.

The next major drafting task is to apply the "new" Agriculture and Rural Zones and receive input from agricultural consultants engaged by southern Councils.

The final LPS and supporting report is expected to go to the April or May OCM for endorsement.

- <u>HT/Centacare Land Master Plan:</u> A suite of Development Applications are expected during 2018.
  - o Sixteen units at 2 Green Point Road are now under construction.
  - o A DA for Green Point Stage 2 for 18 units and 5 dwellings on separate titles has been received and further information requested.
  - An application for 50 units on Gage Road is currently on advertising with a report expected to go to the planning authority for decision in April.
  - o A DA for Bowden Drive is imminent.
  - The application at 20 Gunn St for 29 dwellings has been "on hold" for a number of months, but a revised application is expected in the coming months.
- <u>Highway Services Precinct:</u> The DA for the Highway Services Precinct has been withdrawn due to a recent Supreme Court decision causing it to be "called in" by the EPA as a quarrying activity. The DA is expected to be re-submitted this week addressing the concerns of the EPA.
- <u>Brighton Structure Plan 2018:</u> Echelon Planning were engaged to undertake a review of the 2012 Brighton Structure Plan. They recently undertook a series of targeted consultation workshops with local business', property owners, Council staff and other Government bodies.

A Draft Structure Plan is expected to be presented to Councillors in April.

#### **Development Services Professional Services:**

Council has been providing additional planning services to Tasman due to unexpected extended leave of one of their key staff. Richard Cuskelly has been providing 2.5 days a week since November, instead of the agreed 1 day.

Council provides full planning services for Derwent Valley in 2.5 days/week.

Senior Planner charges occasional hours to both DVC and Tasman for support to staff.

Manager DS charges occasional hours to Council's Sub-region partners for specific projects.

#### **Statutory Update (February 2018):**

For the past month (See Attachment).

DA's received for 2018 are currently 62 and an additional 10 subdivisions. Planning staff are currently managing approximately 70 live applications at Brighton.

#### Appeals:

None current.

#### **Enforcements:**

• 19 Greenbanks Road - The tenant continues to breach their planning permit. Additional action is likely.

#### Consultation

All Council departments.

#### **Risk Implications**

N/A

#### **Financial Implications**

N/A

#### **Options**

- 1. As per the recommendation.
- 2. Council does not adopt the recommendation.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council receives the report.

#### **DECISION:**

Cr Gray moved, Cr Jeffries seconded that the report be received.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries Cr Owen Cr Williams

#### 11.5 MICROWISE - UPDATE REPORT:

**AUTHOR:** General Manager

(Mr R Sanderson)

The General Manager and Deputy General Manager provided an update on the progress of Microwise at the Council meeting.

#### **Options:**

- 1. As per the recommendation.
- 2. Not receive the report.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

That the report be received.

#### **DECISION:**

*Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the report be received.* 

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

Cr Gray moved, Cr Curran seconded that Council resolve in to Closed Council

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Gray

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

Cr Williams

#### 12. CLOSED MEETING:

Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matters are listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

This matter is to be considered in a Closed Meeting of Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Section 15(2)(g)

## 12.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE CLOSED PORTION OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING OF 20th FEBRUARY 2018.

Cr Gray moved, Cr Curran seconded that the closed portion of the Ordinary Council Meeting minutes of the 20<sup>th</sup> February 2018 be adopted.

**CARRIED** 

#### **VOTING RECORD**

#### In favour Against

Cr Curran

Cr Foster

Cr Garlick

Cr Geard

Cr Grav

Cr Higgins

Cr Jeffries

Cr Owen

Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Garlick seconded that Council resolve out of Council and that the decision made while in Closed Council be ratified.

**CARRIED** 

VOTING RE	<b>VOTING RECORD</b>		
In favour	Against		
Cr Curran			
Cr Foster			
Cr Garlick			
Cr Geard			
Cr Gray			
Cr Higgins			
Cr Jeffries			
Cr Owen			
Cr Williams			

#### 13. QUESTIONS ON NOTICE:

There were no questions on no	otice.	
Meeting closed at 6.50 pm		
Confirmed:		_
	(Mayor)	
D. (	17th A '1 2010	
Date:	17 <sup>th</sup> April 2018	