



Brighton Council

**MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
OF THE BRIGHTON COUNCIL HELD
IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES
OLD BEACH AT 5.30 P.M. ON TUESDAY,
18th JULY 2017**

PRESENT: Cr Foster (Mayor); Cr Garlick; Cr Geard; Cr Gray; Cr Higgins; Cr Owen and Cr Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr R Sanderson (General Manager); Mr H Macpherson (Municipal Engineer); Mr J Dryburgh (Manager Development Services); Ms G Browne (Acting Manager Corporate Services); and Mrs K Hossack (Corporate Consultant).

1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES:

1.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING OF 20TH JUNE 2017:

Cr Garlick moved, Cr Geard seconded that the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting of 20th June 2017 be confirmed.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

1.2 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE PLANNING AUTHORITY MEETING OF 11TH JULY 2017:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Geard seconded that the Minutes of the Planning Authority Meeting of 11th July 2017 be confirmed.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

2. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Williams seconded that Cr Curran and Cr Jeffries be granted leave of absence.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

3. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND DEPUTATIONS:

Mr Mark Bartlett addressed Council in relation to the recent spate of Council wheelie bins being set on fire.

4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST:

In accordance with Part 5, Section 48 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have an interest in any item on the agenda; and

Part 2 Regulation 8 (7) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have, a pecuniary interest in any item on the agenda.

Accordingly, Councillors are requested to advise of any interest they may have in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which the Council has resolved to deal with, in accordance with Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015*.

There were no declarations of interest.

5. REPORTS FROM COUNCILLORS:

5.1 MAYOR'S COMMUNICATIONS:

AUTHOR: Mayor
(Cr T Foster)

The Mayor's communications were as follows:-

- 22 June The General Manager and I met with Peter McGlone and Sophie Underwood from Tasmanian Conservation Trust to discuss concerns with the new Planning requirements and the future of TasWater.
- 03 July Meeting with Council Engineer re Old Beach Park proposal
- 04 July Ryk Goddard, ABC interview re Old Beach Park proposal
- 04 July General Manager, Manager Development Services and I met with Scott Wade and his associate to discuss their proposal for Municipality.
- 10 July Meeting in the City with UTAS Professor Peter Rathjen and other Mayors to look at the UTAS proposal for a STEM Centre proposal for Hobart
- 11 July Planning Authority Meeting
- 18 July Ordinary Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Mayor's communications be received.

DECISION:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Williams seconded that the report be received.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|-------------|---------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

5.2 REPORTS FROM COUNCIL REPRESENTATIVES WITH OTHER ORGANISATIONS:

Cr Geard advised he chaired a recent meeting of the Old Council Chambers Users Group.

5.3 CORRESPONDENCE FROM SOUTHERN TASMANIAN COUNCILS ASSOCIATION (STCA), LGAT, TASWATER AND JOINT AUTHORITIES:

Correspondence and reports from the STCA, LGAT, TasWater and Joint Authorities.

If any Councillor wishes to view documents received contact should either be made with the Governance Manager or General Manager.

6. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS:

In accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, it was reported that no workshops were held since the last Ordinary Council Meeting.

7. NOTICE OF MOTION:

There were no notices of motion.

8. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA:

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8(6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the Council, by absolute majority may approve the consideration of a matter not appearing on the agenda, where the General Manager has reported:

- (a) the reason it was not possible to include the matter on the agenda, and
- (b) that the matter is urgent, and
- (c) that advice has been provided under Section 65 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council resolve by absolute majority to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

DECISION:

The General Manager advised that there were no supplementary agenda items.

9. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES:

There were no Committee Meetings held in July.

10. COUNCIL ACTING AS PLANNING AUTHORITY:

In accordance with the provisions of Part 2 Regulation 25 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, the intention of the Council to act as a Planning Authority pursuant to the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* is to be noted. In accordance with Regulation 25, the Council will act as a planning authority in respect to those matters appearing under Item 10 on this agenda, inclusive of any supplementary items.

There were no planning items listed on this Agenda.

11. REPORTS FROM OFFICERS:

11.1 LOCAL GOVERNMENT SHARED SERVICES MONTHLY REPORTS:

AUTHOR: Corporate Consultant
(Mrs K Hossack)

Background:

When the Local Government Shared Services (LGSS) was formalised, there was an undertaking that monthly reports would be provided to member Councils. There are now fourteen (14) Councils that have joined to date.

One report is for the overall performance of the shared service agreement which is provided to all member Councils. The other report is Council specific for each member Council that is provided only to that individual Council. The second attachment is for Brighton Council's performance for the previous months.

Consultation:

General Manager

Risk Implications:

Nil

Financial Implications:

See attached reports for financial information about the Local Government Shared Services and Brighton Council.

Other Issues:

These reports provide detailed information to assist in dealing with the amalgamation program and the financial sustainability of the shared services and individual Councils.

Assessment:

The reports provide updates of proposed actions and collaborations which will build the overall capability and outputs of the group.

Options:

1. Adopt the recommendation
2. Do nothing

RECOMMENDATION:

That the reports be received.

DECISION:

Cr Garlick moved, Cr Higgins seconded that the reports be received.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

11.2 ADDITION TO FEES & CHARGES 2017-18:

AUTHOR: Acting Manager Corporate Services
(Ms G Browne)

Background:

As part of the 2017-18 Budget process, the annual fees and charges were adopted.

It has come to Council’s attention, that within the Environmental & Development Services section under Plumbing, the following fee was omitted: -

| | |
|---|------|
| Low Risk Category - Notifiable Assessment | \$50 |
|---|------|

Consultation:

Nil

Risk Implications:

Nil

Financial Implications:

An additional plumbing fee will be charged according to legislative requirements.

Other Issues:

Nil

Assessment:

Nil

Options:

1. Adopt the recommendation
2. Do nothing

RECOMMENDATION:

That the additional Plumbing fee for 'Low Risk Category – Notifiable Assessment \$50' be included within the Fees & Charges for 2017-18.

DECISION:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Geard seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

11.3 FINANCIAL SUMMARY - JUNE:

AUTHOR: Acting Manager Corporate Services
(Ms G Browne)

Background:

The finance report is usually presented to Council each month to give an indication of the current financial position. This would normally show any normal or extra ordinary financial activities that have eventuated during the period. Council is aware that this report is not normally presented to the June Meeting due to it being end of the financial year and changes that may be made to these figures during the audit process.

Consultation:

Nil

Risk Implications:

Nil

Financial Implications:

Nil

Other Issues:

Nil

Assessment:

Financial activities to note for the month of June were as follows: -

- Rates Debtors for the year ended \$14,869 in credit due to the amount outstanding in rates and the number of ratepayers that have paid in advance.
- Approximately \$40,000 in Dog registrations were received for the month of June.
- Dog Kennel Licences were issued and revenue of approximately \$6,195 being generated with \$3,750 outstanding at the time of writing.
- Food Premise Licences were also issued with revenue of approximately \$12,000 being generated with \$1,863 outstanding at the time of writing.
- Financial Assistance Grant prepayment of \$792,558 was received.
- State Growth paid for stage one for the Cove Hill Road Bridge upgrade.
- As previously advised to Council, maintenance expenditure is anticipated to finish over the estimated Budget Estimate 2016-17, due to increased mowing costs owing to unusual weather conditions.
- There are also three Capital Works Projects that will be carried over into the 2017-18 year which are: -
 1. Cove Hill Road Bridge
 2. Brighton Street-Scaping
 3. Brighton Bowls Club

At this stage Council appears to have finished in a healthy cash position due to payments being received of the first stages of these Projects, however the Projects only just being commenced.

The Tasmanian Audit Office commenced the auditing of the BIHC and the Microwise accounts last week as well as some preliminary checks on the Brighton financials.

Options:

1. Adopt the recommendation
 2. Do nothing
-

RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.

DECISION:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

11.4 MONTHLY PLANNING UPDATE:

AUTHOR: Manager Development Services
(Mr J Dryburgh)

Background:

This report is intended to provide a monthly summary of planning matters for Council.

Discussion:

Purchase and Receipt of Land:

None.

Projects:

- **5+5+5 project:** The project has been 'reinvented' to align with the Work for the Dole system and is currently active again. Work is progressing extremely well with a great group of young people and excellent supervisors. A significant amount of work is now being achieved on site with limited funding and positive outcomes.

- **Greening Brighton Strategy:** Work is underway to determine the planting plan for the coming financial year, with a focus on the Herdsmans Cove side of the East Derwent Highway. Council staff engaged David Cundall from Southern Midlands (qualified horticulturalist) who has prepared a draft guideline for tree plantings in parks and reserves for all urban areas in the municipality. Staff are currently finalizing the planting schedule and ordering stock.
- **Bridgewater Parkland Master Plan:** Two grant applications for Stage 1 of the Plan have been submitted for funding under the Building Better Regions Fund (Federal) and Community Infrastructure Grant (State) in partnership with Centacare Evolve Housing.

Development Applications and Leases on Council-Owned Land:

Nothing new.

Council Land Subdivisions and Sales:

BIHC: the sale of 12 lots in Herdsmans Cove has been rejected by the Crown on the basis that it does not meet the Objectives of the BIHC Agreement. Council's Manager Development Services is working with council's legal advisors, the purchaser and the Crown in the hope of suitably resolving the matter.

Clr Gray, James Dryburgh and David Allingham met with Centacare Evolve's planning consultants Holmes Dyer on the 8th June 2017 regarding transfers of Council land. (Please see separate report on this agenda).

Other Strategic Matters:

- **Brighton Interim Planning Scheme & Amendments:**

Tivoli Green Specific Area Plan - Council provided submissions to the TPC relating to a number of issues. It is expected that a further hearing will be held on 18th July, regarding the issue of whether or not a SAP can override the General Residential Zone (PD4.1).

Cove Hill Road Rezoning: Council provided submissions to the TPC regarding land supply issues and a further hearing has been scheduled for 5 July. Boral and MRT have objected to the rezoning late in the hearing process.

- **State Planning Scheme:** The Statewide Planning Provisions (SPP) have now been released. Planning staff have begun to draft the Local Planning Schedules (LPS), particularly the relative simple conversions of existing Particular Purpose Zones and Specific Area Plans.

The TPC has been allocated additional funding to support Councils in preparing the LPS' and mapping. The Southern Councils have identified the following as priority funding areas:-

- General GIS mapping
- Priority vegetation mapping
- Agricultural mapping
- Addressing changes to the Southern Tasmanian Regional Land Use Strategies.

The TPC are encouraging Council's to convert zones from their current status under the Interim Planning Schemes as far as practicable. There is very little scope for strategic work to be undertaken as part of the process.

Staff will look at scheduling a workshop with Councillors in the coming months to provide more detail around the preparation of the LPS and to get direction on any controversial issues that may arise.

- **HT/Centacare Land Master Plan:** A suite of Development Applications are expected during 2017.
 - Sixteen units at 2 Green Point Road have been approved and Council are awaiting a Building Permit Application.
 - The application at 20 Gunn St for 29 dwellings has been revised due to the alignment of the "new" Bridgewater Bridge and has now been reduced to 28 dwellings.
 - A DA for Stage 2 of Green Point Road is expected in coming weeks.
 - A decision on how land will be transferred from Council will provide for submission of a number of other DAs.
- **NDIS Projects:** Nothing new.
- **Highway Services Precinct:** Permission to lodge a DA granted by State Growth, nothing received to date. The developer and staff are working through significant issues with regards to servicing the development and surrounding area with sewer and water.
- There seems to have been a growing interest in land and activities within the Industrial Estate of late, and Council staff received several calls after our advertising message promoting the area was aired on radio recently.

Planning Professional Services:

Council has begun providing planning services to Tasman Council again with Richard Cuskelly being the primary Planning Officer undertaking one day per week for them.

Council still provides full planning services for Derwent Valley Council.

Statutory Update (June 2017):

For the past month (See Attachment).

Appeals:

- 757 Boyer Road – dwelling. Hearing was held on 23rd May and Council's position was fully supported by the RMPAT decision. Council's approval stands.
- 720 Boyer Road – quarry. Hearing scheduled for 11-12 July. Mediation entered into with agreement pending.

Enforcements:

Nothing new to report.

Consultation:

All council departments.

Risk Implications:

N/A.

Financial Implications:

N/A.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
 2. Council does not adopt the recommendation.
-

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council receive the report.

DECISION:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Geard seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

11.5 STREET LIGHTING LED REPLACEMENT PROJECT:

AUTHOR: Project Engineer
(Mr C Pearce-Rasmussen)

Background:

In November 2016, Brighton Council agreed to participate with several other Southern Tasmanian councils in engaging Ironbark Sustainability Consultants to draft a business case analysis for replacing existing streetlights with LED fittings.

TasNetworks are currently in the process of replacing existing streetlight fittings with LEDs state-wide under their own rollout program. Their project has a 10-year timeframe. The TasNetworks replacement program is prioritising conversion of all 80W Mercury Vapour street lights to LEDs in the next 4 years, followed by the replacement of all other fittings. The rollout has been paused for councils involved in the business case analysis while they consider options for self-funded replacement.

The business case completed by Ironbark finds that self-funded replacement of streetlights will ensure that councils are paying the lowest possible maintenance fees and maximising energy and cost savings over the service life of the new fittings. If council were to self-fund the replacement, they would then own the light fittings, which they currently do not. Maintenance of the fittings would continue to be performed by TasNetworks under a service agreement. The Brighton Municipality has 1,104 replaceable streetlight fittings.

A number of market variables may affect the outcome of this project if it were to proceed. Fluctuations in energy price, maintenance tariffs and cost of the fittings will alter the financial outcome. In order to account for the range of possible outcomes, the financial analysis provides three different scenarios: -

- a. Pessimistic - High Cost, Low Savings
- b. Average - Moderate Cost & Savings
- c. Optimistic - Low Cost, High Savings

The "Average" scenario is considered the most probable with the "Pessimistic" and "Optimistic" scenarios providing lower and upper bounds for what may be possible with changes in the market.

There is currently funding available for the LED replacement rollout through the Accelerated Local Government Capital Program (ALGCP). This program offers an interest rebate payment for funding delivered through the Tasmanian Public Finance Corporation. Effectively, this provides a loan for the project where the interest payments will be rebated by the State Government. Funding through this program is available until 31st August 2017 and is being allocated on a first come, first served basis. No additional funding will be available once the available \$60 million has been allocated, even if prior to the closing date.

LGAT are coordinating a joint application on behalf of all councils for the ALGCP and providing the business case and project explanation to the program. Each council seeking funding through the ALGCP will be applying for finance individually.

Consultation:

Municipal Engineer, Ironbark Sustainability Consultants & LGAT Policy Officers

Risk Implications:

Variations in the market price of electricity, maintenance or equipment could alter the financial outcomes of the project.

Council will be taking ownership of the new LED streetlights, increasing its asset portfolio by approx. \$700,000.

Financial Implications:

Extract from page 26 of the Ironbark Report is below.

6.2.2 Feasibility Study Outcomes - Brighton

The Feasibility Study for Brighton City Council shows that for a council funded replacement of lights the cost is between \$657,000 and \$711,000, with cumulative net savings of between \$1.56 million and \$2.37 million over the 20-year life of the assets. There is a payback period of 5.9 to 6.9 years.



For Scenario 2, a TasNetworks funded change over, the capital cost is zero, and over 20 years the cumulative savings are between \$225,000 and \$1.05 million.

Greenhouse gas savings are between 40 and 44t CO₂-e per year, adding up to a total of 799 to 890t CO₂-e over 20 years.

Table 8: Brighton Council Feasibility Study Outcomes

| | Optimistic | Average | Pessimistic |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Scenario 1: Council to fund replacement of residential street lights to LED | | | |
| Number of lights changed | 1,104 | 1,104 | 1,104 |
| Net cost savings in 1st year | \$103,882 | \$103,238 | \$99,673 |
| Total cumulative cost to Council | \$656,995 | \$695,105 | \$711,407 |
| Cumulative net simple savings* | \$2,370,641 | \$1,926,493 | \$1,556,196 |
| Net Present Value | \$1,286,377 | \$1,019,970 | \$799,315 |
| Payback Period | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| Cumulative greenhouse savings from commencement (t CO ₂ -e) | 890 | 890 | 890 |
| Average greenhouse savings pa (t CO ₂ -e) | 44 | 44 | 44 |
| Scenario 2: TasNetworks to fund replacement of residential street lights to LED | | | |
| Number of lights changed | 1,104 | 1,104 | 1,104 |
| Net cost savings in 1st year | \$15,425 | \$15,109 | \$13,492 |
| Total cumulative cost to Council | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Cumulative net simple savings* | \$1,048,591 | \$636,653 | \$224,823 |
| Net Present Value | \$641,470 | \$410,418 | \$177,449 |
| Payback Period | NA | NA | NA |
| Cumulative greenhouse savings from commencement (t CO ₂ -e) | 799 | 799 | 799 |
| Average greenhouse savings pa (t CO ₂ -e) | 40 | 40 | 40 |

*less total cumulative cost to Council

Other Issues:

Nil

Assessment:

Installing LED streetlights to replace the existing fittings will result in net savings of between \$1.56 million and \$2.37 million over the 20-year life of the lights for an initial outlay of between \$657,000 and \$711,000. It is expected that the cumulative savings will match the initial project outlay 6-7 years after replacement. Funding this project through the ALGCP is the most financially sound approach as using council cash reserves for procurement would forego interest that would otherwise accrue over the life of the project.

If the council were to proceed with the TasNetworks funded changeover, the capital cost would be zero with net savings of between \$225,000 and \$1.05 million over the

20-year period. Ongoing maintenance of the lights will be more expensive under this option.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
2. Proceed with LED rollout, funding from council cash reserves.
3. Proceed with TasNetworks replacement program.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council proceed with LED replacement and take ownership of the new streetlights with an application for funding through the Accelerated Local Government Capital Program.

DECISION:

Cr Higgins moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

11.6 ARMY CAMP SUBDIVISION STREET LIGHTING:

AUTHOR: Asset Services Manager
(Mr H Macpherson)

Background:

A lighting contractor on behalf of the developer of the Brighton Army Camp subdivision has approached Brighton Council requesting it to consider the installation of solar powered street lights for the remaining stages of the development.

Consultation:

Municipal Engineer, Project Engineer, Southern Lighting.

Risk Implications:

When subdivisions are completed the light poles are installed by the developer and handed to Council. Council then pays Aurora a monthly fee to cover the maintenance and power consumption. Aurora installs the light fitting as part of this fee.

Aurora currently do not manage solar lights so Council would be responsible for maintenance and servicing.

The poles have solar panels on all four sides of the pole. They have a 10-year warranty on the workmanship and 25 years on a minimum 80% of the Pmax lighting output. The internals including the batteries have a 5-year warranty. All the wiring is internal and the solar panels are highly vandal resistant. The luminaires have a 10-year warranty with a 30-year service life.

The lights are designed with battery back-up that will allow for 5 nights of continuous lighting with zero solar gain. Therefore, it would take 5 days without sun for the lights to stop working.

Financial Implications:

The design of the lights and the use of the high-quality luminaires result in better pedestrian light distribution.

A price has been provided for the ongoing maintenance of the solar lights with a fixed price for the duration of the quote for 10 years including the first battery replacement.

This quote is \$59.95 per pole per year.

This is compared with \$163.50 per pole per year from Aurora (this price will change with the new power rate) which is likely to increase each year and will be affected by ongoing fluctuations in the power prices. Hence it is very difficult to predict what the price will be in 10 years' time.

The current replacement costs of the main components for the solar lights are: -

- Luminaires - \$810 – 30 year service life
- Batteries and wiring - \$835 – 7-8 year service life and likely to be 13 year after first replacement (included)
- Driver - \$104 – 10 years minimum service life

A conservative estimate is that the solar lights would cost \$1,480 per pole less over the first 10 years compared to Aurora. The first battery replacement is included in the annual fee, so the first paid battery replacement isn't likely to be until 20 years' time.

Other Issues:

Aurora only have limited options for light poles and luminaire so Council is restricted to lights that they specify in new developments.

The current LED lights used by Aurora have a poor light distribution and can cause light spill into houses compared to the proposed solar lights that have superior illumination and glare control and are dark skies compliant. As the proposed lights, direct light more efficiently they will provide efficient street lighting and will have improved light spill for pedestrian lighting on footpaths over the traditional light fittings.

The solar poles have been designed so that other technologies can be incorporated into the poles including WiFi hotspots, charge stations, traffic sign, warning lights and other options.

This could be an opportunity for Council to trial solar streetlighting as an alternative to traditional street lighting.

Assessment:

It is difficult to compare apples with apples with the two proposals. Aurora streetlights have an annual fee with the only major risk that the fee increases from year to year due to power prices. This volatility was shown last week when our unmetered power price for street lighting went from 4.03c/kWh in 2015 to 8.79c/kWh for the next two years. There is no risk for Council in relation to the luminaire and the only other cost for Council is the replacement of the poles if damaged, which would be the case for either option.

The solar lights have a cheaper annual fee for Council and won't be subject to power prices but have components like the batteries, drivers and the luminaire that Council would have to pay to repair if anything should happen after the warranty has expired. The financial saving should be more than enough to cover these replacements cost and the components are of a high quality so Council are less likely to have issues with early failure. The solar lights have many environmental benefits including utilising a renewable energy source, better light distributions, less light spill and being dark skies compliant.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
 2. Council does not agree to using solar lights in the Army Camp Subdivision.
-

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council inform the lighting contractor that they will accept handover of the solar lights once installed in the Army Camp subdivision after the completion of the standard maintenance period.

DECISION:

Cr Geard moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

11.7 OLD BEACH JETTY:

AUTHOR: Asset Services Manager
(Mr H Macpherson)

The Municipal Engineer will provide an update of the progress of the Old Beach Jetty.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
 2. Council does not accept the report.
-

RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.

DECISION:

Cr Garlick moved, Cr Gray seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|-------------|---------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

11.8 MICROWISE - UPDATE REPORT:

AUTHOR: General Manager
(Mr R Sanderson)

The General Manager provided an update on the progress of Microwise at the Council Meeting.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
 2. Not receive the report.
-

RECOMMENDATION:

That the report be received.

DECISION:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Williams seconded that the recommendation be adopted.
CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

12. CLOSED MEETING:

Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015 provides that Council may consider certain sensitive matters in Closed Meeting.

The following matter is listed in the Closed Meeting section of the Council Agenda in accordance with Regulation 15 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015.

Cr Garlick moved, Cr Williams seconded that Council resolve into Closed Council.
CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

This matter is to be considered in a Closed Meeting of Council by authority of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2015, Section 15(2)(f)

12.1 POTENTIAL TRANSFERS OF COUNCIL-OWNED LAND TO CENTACARE EVOLVE FOR HOUSING AND COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE:

DECISION:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Higgins seconded that the recommendation be adopted.
CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

Cr Gray moved, Cr Williams seconded that Council resolve out of Closed Council and that the decision made while in Closed Council be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

| In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Geard | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Higgins | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Williams | |

13. QUESTIONS ON NOTICE:

There were no questions on notice.

Meeting closed at 6.55pm

Confirmed: _____
(Mayor)

Date: _____
15th August 2017