



Brighton Council

**MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
OF THE BRIGHTON COUNCIL HELD
IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER, COUNCIL OFFICES
OLD BEACH AT 5.30 P.M. ON TUESDAY,
15th JULY 2014**

PRESENT: Cr Foster (Mayor); Cr Taylor (Deputy Mayor); Cr Curran;
Cr Garlick; Cr Gray; Cr Jeffries; Cr Owen and Cr Williams.

IN ATTENDANCE: Mr R Sanderson (General Manager); Mr G Davoren
(Deputy General Manager); Mr H Macpherson (Municipal
Engineer) and Mr J Dryburgh (Development Services
Manager) and Mrs J Banks (Governance Manager)

1. CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES:

1.1 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES OF THE ORDINARY COUNCIL MEETING
OF 17th JUNE 2014.

Cr Curran moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the Minutes of the Ordinary Council Meeting of the 17th June 2014, be confirmed.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Taylor	
Cr Williams	

2. APPLICATIONS FOR LEAVE OF ABSENCE:

Cr Geard requested leave of absence as he is currently overseas.

Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Williams seconded that Cr Geard be granted leave of absence.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Taylor	
Cr Williams	

3. PUBLIC QUESTION TIME AND DEPUTATIONS:

There was no requirement for public question time.

4. DECLARATION OF INTEREST:

In accordance with Part 5, Section 48 of the *Local Government Act 1993*, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have an interest in any item on the agenda; and

Part 2 Regulation 8 (7) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005*, the Chairman of a meeting is to request Councillors to indicate whether they have, or are likely to have, a pecuniary interest in any item on the agenda.

Accordingly, Councillors are requested to advise of any interest they may have in respect to any matter appearing on the agenda, or any supplementary item to the agenda, which the Council has resolved to deal with, in accordance with Part 2 Regulation 8 (6) of the *Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005*.

There were no declarations of interest.

5. REPORTS FROM COUNCILLORS:

5.1 MAYOR’S COMMUNICATIONS:

FILE REFERENCE: 0205-6
AUTHOR: Mayor
(Cr T Foster)

The Mayor’s communications were as follows:-

- Jun 18 ABC – Rick Goddard.
- Jun 18 Meeting with Simon Touma
- Jun 30 Cathy Harper re history book
- Jun 30 STCA Board meeting
- June 30 Discussion with Minister Rene Hidding and Shane Gregory
- June 30 Citizenship ceremony at Council
- Jun 30 Meeting with Heath McPherson and Phil Bailey re Taswater costs.
- Jul 01 GM and I met with Lyons members Guy Barnett and Mark Shelton
- Jul 01 Meeting at Council with GM and James Dryburgh
- Jul 04 Rick Aiken funeral in Hobart
- Jul 08 Guest on Kim and Dave radio program
- Jul 08 Meeting at Council with Betty re Simon Reading’s costs.
- Jul 08 Discussion with Warwick Cardamatis re subdivision Mryna Park
- Jul 08 Discussion with Andrew Bullock re works on Briggs Road.
- Jul 09 Meeting with GM and Heath Macpherson re road contract
- Jul 10 GM and I had a meeting with Shane Gregory from DIER re road contract
- Jul 14 Meeting with Minister Rockcliffe.
- Jul 15 Ordinary Council meeting.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Mayor’s communications be received.

DECISION:

Cr Jeffries moved, Cr Williams seconded that the report be received.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

- | In favour | Against |
|------------------|----------------|
| Cr Curran | |
| Cr Foster | |
| Cr Garlick | |
| Cr Gray | |
| Cr Jeffries | |
| Cr Owen | |
| Cr Taylor | |
| Cr Williams | |

6. NOTIFICATION OF COUNCIL WORKSHOPS:

In accordance with the requirements of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005, it is reported that no workshops were held since the last Council Meeting.

(This includes workshop, seminar or meeting, other than a meeting that is conducted by or on behalf of the council for the councillors.)

7. NOTICE OF MOTION:

There were no Notices of Motion.

8. CONSIDERATION OF SUPPLEMENTARY ITEMS TO THE AGENDA:

In accordance with the requirements of Part 2 Regulation 8(6) of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005, the Council, by absolute majority may approve the consideration of a matter not appearing on the agenda, where the General Manager has reported:

- (a) the reason it was not possible to include the matter on the agenda, and
- (b) that the matter is urgent, and
- (c) that advice has been provided under Section 65 of the *Local Government Act 1993*.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Council resolve by absolute majority to deal with any supplementary items not appearing on the agenda, as reported by the General Manager in accordance with the provisions of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005.

DECISION:

The General Manager advised that due to the meeting with Minister Rockliffe and the Mayor earlier that day that Council should discuss the outcomes of that meeting in relation to the Jordan River Learning Federation's School Farm.

Cr Owen moved, Cr Gray seconded that Council resolve to deal with the supplementary Agenda item in relation to the School Farm

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	

Cr Garlick
Cr Gray
Cr Jeffries
Cr Owen
Cr Taylor
Cr Williams

11.4 JORDAN RIVER SCHOOL FARM:

The Mayor and General Manager addressed Council in relation to the meeting that had been held earlier that day with the Minister for Education and Training, Jeremy Rockcliffe.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council initiates a planning scheme amendment to rezone the school farm site in Brighton from Residential to a particular purpose zone.

DECISION:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Jeffries seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Taylor	
Cr Williams	

9. REPORTS FROM COMMITTEES:

There were no Committee meetings held in July.

10. COUNCIL ACTING AS PLANNING AUTHORITY:

In accordance with the provisions of Part 2 Regulation 25 of the Local Government (Meeting Procedures) Regulations 2005, the intention of the Council to act as a planning authority pursuant to the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993* is to be noted. In accordance with Regulation 25, the Council will act as a planning authority in respect to those matters appearing under Item 10. on this agenda, inclusive of any supplementary items.

10.1 DRAFT PLANNING SCHEME AMENDMENT: SECTION 43A REQUEST - 46 BLACKSTONE DRIVE, OLD BEACH: COMBINED REZONING FROM RECREATION ZONE TO RESIDENTIAL ZONE AND SUBDIVISION OF 2 RESIDENTIAL LOTS AND BALANCE - SECTION 39 REPORT:

FILE REFERENCE: RZ 2014 / 02

Author: Senior Planner (S Wells)

Applicant: Brighton Council

Owner: Brighton Council

Location: 46 Blackstone Drive, Old Beach (Volume 134107 Folio 2) and unaddressed land (Volume 102112 Folio 101 & Volume 101886 Folio 4)

Application no.: RZ 2014 / 02 & SUB 2014 / 06

Zoning: *Recreation Zone*

Planning Instrument: *Brighton Planning Scheme 2000*

Date received: N/A

Date advertised: 24th May 2014

Decision required: N/A

Background:

Council at its meeting of 20th May 2014 resolved to amend the *Brighton Planning Scheme 2000* by rezoning part of 46 Blackstone Drive, Old Beach and two adjoining unnamed properties from the Recreation Zone to the Residential Zone and to approve a two lot subdivision of the rezoned land.

The draft amendment gives effect to Council's Open Space Strategy which provides for the strategic acquisition and disposal of land to achieve a diverse, equitable, integrated and sustainable open space system.

The certified draft amendment and permit were publicly exhibited and five representations were received from residents and one from TasWater.

This report provides for the consideration of the representation received as well as any changes that may be appropriate to the certified draft amendment.



Figure 2: An aerial photograph of the subject area.

Consultation:

The Draft Amendment was exhibited in accordance with Section 38 of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 (the Act) and Section 6 of the *Land Use Planning and Approvals Act Regulations 2004* from Saturday 24th May 2014 to 17th June 2014.

Five representations were received from residents. The concerns raised in each are outlined in the following table together with an officer response. A further representation was received from TasWater which imposed conditions on any permit granted.

Concerns Raised	Planning Officer Response
<i>Representation 1</i>	
That the lots will be sold for affordable housing.	No determination has yet been made as to the process of sale and whether the land will be sold for affordable housing purposes. It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.
Land should be retained for open space purposes.	The land has been identified as surplus to Council needs and provides an underutilised and poorly located area of open space. It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.

<p>Inadequate consultation.</p>	<p>Notification of the matter has exceeded the requirements of the Act. All properties in Blackstone Drive received written notification regardless of whether they adjoined the land.</p> <p>It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.</p>
<p>Representation 2</p>	
<p>Land should be retained for open space purposes.</p>	<p>The land has been identified as surplus to Council needs and provides an underutilised and poorly located area of open space.</p> <p>It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.</p>
<p>Representation 3</p>	
<p>Land should be retained for open space purposes.</p>	<p>The land has been identified as surplus to Council needs and provides an underutilised and poorly located area of open space.</p> <p>It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.</p>
<p>Misleading address</p>	<p>The land has the address 46 Blackstone Drive, Old Beach and, accordingly, this is the address used for the purposes of public notification.</p>
<p>That the lots will be sold for affordable housing.</p>	<p>Not determination has yet been made as to the process of sale and whether the land will be sold for affordable housing purposes.</p> <p>It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.</p>
<p>Access to Council file</p>	<p>All documentation associated with this matter was available for inspection. The Council file was not simply handed over to the representor and such an action would never be the case.</p>

	It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.
Representation 4	
Land should be retained for open space purposes.	The land has been identified as surplus to Council needs and provides an underutilised and poorly located area of open space. It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.
Representation 5	
Land should be retained for open space purposes.	The land has been identified as surplus to Council needs and provides an underutilised and poorly located area of open space. It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.
The foreshore walkway should be completed.	Such works are not relevant to the consideration of this matter. It is considered that this issue does not warrant modification to the certified amendment or to the permit.

Legislation:

Section 39(2) of the Act provides that a Planning Authority (Council) must, within 35 days after the public notification period has closed, forward to the Tasmanian Planning Commission a report comprising:

(a) a copy of each representation received by the authority in relation to the draft amendment or, where it has received no such representation, a statement to that effect; and

(b) a statement of its opinion as to the merit of each such representation, including, in particular, its views as to-

(i) the need for modification of the draft amendment in the light of that representation; and

(ii) the impact of that representation on the draft amendment as a whole; and

(c) such recommendations in relation to the draft amendment as the authority considers necessary.

Subsection (b) relates specifically to any representation received.

Subsection (c) allows the Planning Authority (Council) to provide any additional information or recommendation in relation to the draft amendment as certified or the recommend to the Tasmanian Planning Commission that a modification should be made regardless of any representation.

As the draft amendment has been initiated and certified the Tasmanian Planning Commission must make a determination on the matter.

Assessment:

It is considered that the representations received do not raise issues that warrant modification to the draft amendment.

It is considered that only the submission from TasWater warrants modification to the permit; whereby condition 14 should be modified from

“The development must meet all required Conditions of approval specified by TasWater Response to the Council Notice of Planning Application Referral, date 8/7/2014 (TWSA 2014/00505-BTN)”

to

“The subdivision must be constructed in accordance with the conditions specified by TasWater Response to the Council Notice of Planning Application Referral, date 8/7/2014 (TWSA 2014/00505-BTN)”

No other necessary modifications have been identified by Council officers as being necessary to either the draft amendment or the permit.

Options:

1. To adopt the recommendation; or
2. To adopt an alternative recommendation satisfying the provisions of section 39 of the Act, with a full statement of reasons as determined by Council.

RECOMMENDATION:

That in accordance with Section 39 (2) of the Land Use Planning and Approvals Act 1993 Council resolves to:

- A. Advise the Tasmanian Planning Commission that six representations were received in respect to draft amendment RZ 2014/02 and that:
 - I) the representations do not warrant any modification to the draft amendment; and

- II) the representations have no effect on the amendment as a whole; and
- III) the representation from TasWater warrants modification to the permit whereby condition 14 should read

“The subdivision must be constructed in accordance with the conditions specified by TasWater Response to the Council Notice of Planning Application Referral, date 8/7/2014 (TWSA 2014/00505-BTN)”

- B. Advise the Tasmanian Planning Commission that no modification to draft amendment RZ 2014/02 is considered necessary.

DECISION:

Cr Gray moved, Cr Garlick seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	Cr Owen
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Taylor	
Cr Williams	

10.2 GEORGE STREET, BRIGHTON - SUBDIVISION:

FILE REFERENCE: George St Subdivision

AUTHOR: Asset Services Manager (Mr H Macpherson)

Background:

A subdivision application SA2013/10 was approved under delegation in June for a one lot and balance at 28 George St. The permit contained the following conditions:

- 20. The developer is to make a financial contribution to Brighton Council for the upgrading of George Street. The contribution is to be equal to the cost of upgrading half the width of the existing road along the full subdivision frontage of George Street, being 273 metres, to the minimum standard shown on Councils Standard Drawing SD-1007.

21. The value of the contribution is to be based on a detailed construction estimate approved by Council's Municipal Engineer. The estimate must be prepared by a qualified and experienced civil engineer, or other person approved by Council's Municipal Engineer.
22. Roadworks and drainage must be in accordance with the standard drawings prepared by the IPWE Aust. (Tasmania Division) and to the requirements of Council's Municipal Engineer. Roadwork's must include -
 - Fully paved, sealed and drained road widening with a seal width of 3.0m from the centreline of the existing road;
 - 2 coat prime and seal surface course
 - 1.0 m wide gravel shoulder, and;
 - table drain.

The owner of the property has written to Council (letter attached) to ask whether these conditions can be amended as they are onerous and expensive for a one lot subdivision.

Consultation:

Municipal Engineer, Senior Technical Officer, David Youd

Risk Implications:

There is a risk that if Council amend the condition they will be setting a precedence, but each application needs to be assessed on its own merits and it is unlikely that a similar situation like this would occur.

Financial Implications:

There will be no financial impact to Council.

Other Issues:

As a general rule there is not enough traffic on George Street for Council to consider sealing it.

Assessment:

The conditions that were placed on the permit were standard requirements the assessing officers would normally put on permits where road upgrade works are required. There are 4 dwelling and a TasWater reservoir that use George Street to access their properties. This development will create one new access. Due to the location of the subdivision along George Street and the size of the frontage the conditions that were placed are very onerous.

The new Tasmanian Standard Drawings recently adopted by Brighton Council do have a standard drawing for rural roads unsealed. This drawing would require a 6 metre wide pavement consisting of 4 metres traffic width with 1 metre gravel shoulders. George Street is currently about 4 metres wide. Council requires all new roads to be sealed but due to George St being an existing road it isn't unreasonable for Council to allow George Street to be upgraded to meet the Rural Road Unsealed drawing form Elderslie Road to the start of the new driveway.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation
2. Not approve the amendment to the conditions.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the planning permit be amended with conditions 20, 21 and 22 being deleted and replaced with:

Roadworks and drainage must be in accordance with the standard drawings prepared by the IPWE Aust. (Tasmania Division) including Drawing No. TSD-R01-v1 and to the requirements of Council’s Municipal Engineer. Roadwork’s must include:-

- Fully paved, and drained road widening from Elderslie Road to the driveway of the new lot to achieve a total gravel carriageway width of 6.0m including 1.0 m wide shoulders, and;
- table drains.

DECISION:

Cr Curran moved, Cr Jeffries seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Taylor	
Cr Williams	

11. REPORTS FROM OFFICERS:

11.1 TEA TREE HALL – REQUEST FOR FUNDING:

FILE REFERENCE: Teatree/596
AUTHOR: Council Services Officer
(Ms C Harper)

Background:

Following conversations with Council, the Tea Tree Community Association has written to Council to formally request the refunding of planning and building application fees for the improvements that were undertaken on the Tea Tree Hall.

Council has supported the improvements to the hall over the past two years and these costs were originally paid by the association on the understanding that Council would refund these fees as part of Council's support of the project.

Consultation:

Ron Sanderson (General Manager), Michael Gordon (Secretary-Treasurer Tea Tree Community Association), Cathy Harper (Council Services Officer).

Risk Implications:

None identified.

Financial Implications:

Council's invoices for Building Application of \$1071.00 and Plumbing Application of \$394.00 totalling \$1465.00.

This is classed as a donation and documented accordingly in Council's Annual Report.

Other Issues:

The Tea Tree Community Association did seek Council assistance under the 2014/15 Community Grants program; however they were unsuccessful in this financial year.

Assessment:

Council's refunding these costs would assist the Association to undertake other works which are still required as part of the building's upgrade. These fees were an essential cost of the development which Council has supported.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation.
2. Council declines to refund the invoices paid.
3. Council refunds a lesser amount.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council refunds the fees charged and records the refund as part of its Grants and Donations budget.

DECISION:

Cr Taylor moved, Cr Curran seconded that the recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Taylor	
Cr Williams	

11.2 BRIGGS ROAD PROPOSED SPEED LIMIT CHANGE:

FILE REFERENCE: Briggs Road

AUTHOR: Project Engineer (Mr O Heyward)

Background:

In April 2014, staff from the Bonorong Wildlife Sanctuary advised Council of their concerns regarding the speed of vehicles travelling along Briggs Road in the vicinity of the park. Concerns were raised regarding the safety of visitors to the park, particularly when vehicles are entering or exiting the site on Briggs Road.

Bonorong is located at 593 Briggs Road. Currently, there are speed limit signs 180m to the north of the park, changing the speed from 80 to 60 heading north. Staff from the park have suggested that these signs should be moved to a location further south so that the access to Bonorong is encompassed within the 60km/h zone. It was also suggested that Council provide advisory signage on Briggs Road to warn vehicles that they are approaching Bonorong.

From June 5th to June 19th Council’s Asset Services Department placed traffic counters to the north and to the south of the Bonorong driveway to assess speed and traffic volumes. Sight distance from the Bonorong driveway was also evaluated.

The Department of State Growth, Transport are the authority for speed limit zones and regulatory signage along roads and would therefore be responsible for making a determination on extending the 60km/hr zone to encompass the entrance to Bonorong. They would also be responsible for assessing the suitability of warning signage on the approach to the Bonorong entrance.

Consultation:

Grace Heathcote - Wildlife Keeper / Business Director, Bonorong Wildlife Sanctuary, Heath Macpherson – Municipal Engineer

Risk Implications:

There is very little risk for Council. If the 60km/h speed limit zone is extended, the risk of vehicle accidents along that section of Briggs Road will be reduced.

Financial Implications:

If it is decided that the speed limit should be changed Council will bear the cost of moving the signs. These costs will be minimal.

If Council decides to provide additional advisory signage to improve safety for vehicles around the Bonorong driveway, the cost of this would be between \$600 and \$1000.

Other Issues:

Separate to discussions with Council regarding vehicle safety and access, staff from Bonorong have met with Council officers to discuss possible future plans to further develop the site. They have indicated that in addition to current activities, possible future uses include a small caravan park and a veterinary hospital. If these new uses are progressed it is likely that there will be greater vehicle movements into and out of the park and the risk of accidents and traffic disruptions will be exacerbated. Council may therefore need to consider improvements to Briggs Road such as entry and exit slip lanes or other measures to improve traffic management.

Assessment:

In order to quantify the risk, traffic counters were placed to the north and to the south of the Bonorong driveway to assess speed and traffic volumes on the approaches. Bonorong have also advised approximate visitor numbers.

Traffic Volumes

The following data was obtained:

Average daily vehicles on Briggs Road (North of bonorong)	1437.4	<i>Traffic counter</i>
Average daily vehicles on Briggs Road (South of bonorong)	1362.5	<i>Traffic counter</i>
Number of visitors to Bonorong per year	65000	<i>Advised by Bonorong</i>
Number of visitors to Bonorong in a summer week	3500	<i>Advised by Bonorong</i>
Percentage visitors arrive by bus	0.5	<i>Advised by Bonorong</i>

Average visitors per bus	30	<i>Advised by Bonorong</i>
Average visitors per car	3	<i>Advised by Bonorong</i>
Estimated number of vehicles per summer week	642	<i>Calculated</i>
Estimated % of Briggs Road traffic entering Bonorong, summer week	6.38%	<i>Calculated (based on north counter)</i>
Estimated daily number of vehicles entering Bonorong, summer period	92	<i>Calculated (based on north counter)</i>

No data was collected on the Bonorong driveway itself however it is estimated that during the peak season, Bonorong receives approximately 6.4% of vehicles which travel along Briggs Road. Furthermore, 75 more vehicles per day were recorded on the counter situated to the north. If it is assumed that these vehicles all enter Bonorong from the north and leave heading north, approximately 37 or 40% more vehicles turn left in and right out of Bonorong.

Traffic Speeds

Applying the Tasmanian Standard Drawing TSD-RF01-v1, sight distance from the Bonorong driveway was measured to be 99m to the south and over 400m to the north. As there is ample sight distance to the north, sight distance of vehicles traveling north are evaluated.

The traffic counters recorded the following 85th percentile speeds for vehicles traveling north:

Southern counter	68.4	km/h
Northern counter	78.1	km/h

As the current speed limit is 80km/h, the Safe Intersection Sight Distances (SISD) recommended by the standard are as follows:

SISD, southern counter	140	m
SISD, northern counter	175	m

The recommended minimum Safe Intersection Sight Distances to the south (140m) is significantly greater than the measured sight distance (99m).

If the speed limit is reduced to 60km/h and it is assumed that the 85th percentile vehicle will travel at 60km/h, then the required Safe Intersection Sight Distance would be 105m.

A reduction in speed to 60km/h would bring the driveway close to compliance with the standard and would significantly improve safety, particularly for vehicles entering and exiting Bonorong from and into the north bound lane.

The appropriate new location for the change of speed limit would be to the south of Bonorong, before the guard rail and the right hand bend when travelling north, as shown on the attached map.

Safety would be further improved with advisory signs informing vehicles traveling north on Briggs Road of the approaching entrance to Bonorong.

Options:

1. As per the recommendation
2. The speed limit zones remain the same. No change.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of this report that:

1. Council endorses the proposed change to speed limit on Briggs Road,
2. Council recommend to the Department of State Growth, Transport that the 60km/hr zone be extended south to in front of 516 Briggs Road, and
3. Council recommend to the Department of State Growth, Transport that new advisory signage be provided on the southern approach to Bonorong, warning of slow or stopped traffic ahead.

DECISION:

Cr Owen moved, Cr Gray seconded that the recommendation be adopted **CARRIED**

VOTING RECORD

In favour	Against
Cr Curran	
Cr Foster	
Cr Garlick	
Cr Gray	
Cr Jeffries	
Cr Owen	
Cr Taylor	
Cr Williams	

12. QUESTION ON NOTICE:

There were no questions on notice.

The meeting closed at 6.35 pm.

Confirmed: _____
(Mayor)

Date: _____
19th August 2014

